GSTDMB 2012: DYNAMICAL MODELLING FOR BIOLOGY AND MEDICINE

Lecture 4 Parameter estimation and sensitivity analysis

Markus Owen

Systems approach: basic questions

- Given experimental data, and a mathematical model, what can we infer about the nature of the underlying mechanisms?
- More specifically: can we use the data to determine plausible values for the model parameters? *Inference*.
- If we can infer a 'reasonable' set of parameters, how do we know whether or not we can trust them? How sensitive is the behaviour of the model to changes in the parameter values? *Parameter sensitivity*.

2

1

Parameter estimation

If we have an ODE model

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt}(t) = f_i\left(\left\{x_{\langle i \rangle}(t)\right\}, \mathbf{p}_i\right); \quad x_i(0) = x_{i0}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

how do we estimate parameters given some experimental data (values of some of the variables x_i at times t_j)?

Seek parameters that minimise the sum of the squared difference between available data and corresponding model variables (the **cost function**):

$$E = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \left(x_i(t_j) - x_i^{data}(t_j) \right)^2$$

For models with a small number of parameters, manual tuning can work well. Otherwise, parameter estimation is a major research area.

Searching parameter space



Problem: find global minimum of the cost function. Need to:

- · search space efficiently
- · converge to a minimum
- · avoid getting stuck in local minima

1

Simulated Annealing

Metropolis *et al.* (1953). J Chem Phys 21: 1087. Kirkpatrick *et al.* (1983). Science 220: 671.

- 1. Compute $E = E_{old}$ using parameters θ_i .
- 2. Change one of the values in θ_i (make a "move").
- 3. Compute $E = E_{new}$ using the newly generated set of θ_i .
- 4. If $E_{new} < E_{old}$, keep the new values of θ_i (accept the move).
- 5. If $E_{new} > E_{old}$, keep the new values of θ_i with Boltzmann probability exp(- $\Delta E/T$); otherwise restore the old values in θ_i (reject the move).
- 6. Repeat 1-5, making moves by changing each element of θ_i in turn, allowing *T* to decrease from its initial value to zero. High *T* allows large movements in parameter space.

5

In general, need to combine:

- 1. Global search avoid local minima; slow convergence
- 2. Local search refine minima; fast convergence.



Simulated annealing does this by changing *T*. Gives good solutions, but is very slow.

Wednesday, 11 July 12

Evolutionary Algorithm Optimisation

Fomekong-Nanfack et al. (2007). Bioinformatics 23, 3356-3363.

An alternative optimisation strategy is to use an *evolutionary algorithm*:

- Treat a parameter set as the "genome" of an individual.
- Each individual has a "fitness" determined by a combination of the cost function and a penalty (to account for the 'feasibility' of the parameters.
- At each generation, rank individuals on fitness and select the fittest to seed the next generation (selection).
- 'Mutation' and 'recombination' (parameter changes) allow parameter space to be searched.

7

Local Optimisation

- 1. Optimise locally to speed up convergence.
- One option is to move using steepest descent of the cost function, but requires evaluation of the derivative. No analytical expression and costly to approximate.
- Use downhill simplex (Nelder-Mead). Evaluate the cost function at n+1 points (for an n-dimensional parameter space). Treat each point as a vertex of a simplex. Move the worst point to search for local minima (with progressively smaller moves).
- 4. Improves goodness of fit and speed of convergence.

8



Wednesday, 11 July 12

9



11

12



A harder problem mRNA expression levels 47.6457 104.0833 47.6369 108.6458 41.502 102.07 61.8864 127.3598 50.9386 following growth factor 66.6506 50.838 119.206 129. 134. 122.42 treatment of cultured cells 52.9187 38.680 39.443 135.0155 140,4649 141.0930 140.5744 143,466 140.4649 43.6159 183.8129 39.1105 253.0174 55.1471 133.0133 41.0047 174.5059 40.6576 31.6494 37.5628 36.8781 • five replicates (A-E) at 13 31.8494 177.2440 33.4044 252.4838 48.1998 178.5009 189.6021 27.1605 time points 40.6576 244.8919 50.0274 258.4072 252.901 38.8440 180 37.2545 44.3188 29.4739 40.984 300 250 mRNA expression 200 150 I 100 Ŧ 50 Т Л 0 80 100 time (min) 0 20 40 60 100 120 140 160 180





A harder problem

- **Challenge**: Infer values of *a* and *b* for which the following model (a Bliss-Painter-Marr negative feedback model) best accounts for the data
- *x*1 represents the mRNA in question, *x*2 and *x*3 are proteins (unmeasured in the experiment)
- Know from other data that k=1 and $100 \le a \le 300$ and $0.05 \le b \le 0.3$

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = \frac{a}{1+x_3} - bx_1$$

$$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = b(x_1 - x_2)$$

$$c = \begin{cases} 5, & t < 0\\ 5+0.2t, & 0 \le t < 50\\ 15, & t \ge 50 \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{dx_3}{dt} = bx_2 - c\frac{x_3}{1+kx_3}$$

- **Method**: Solve the equations for different values of *a* and *b* and evaluate the squared error cost function specified earlier
- Use a search algorithm to search the allowed parameter values to identify the optimal values (lowest cost function value)









Parameter sensitivity

If we have a solution of the ODE model

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt}(t) = f_i\left(\left\{x_{\langle i \rangle}(t)\right\}, \mathbf{p}_i\right); \quad x_i(0) = x_{i0}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

for a given set of parameters.

Question: How sensitive is this solution to changes in the parameters? Often most appropriate to think in terms of solution "features" (corresponding to biological function).

Given a feature ϕ , the sensitivity gain is defined as: $S_p^{\phi} = \frac{\delta \phi / \phi}{\delta p / p}$

(relative change in feature)/(relative change in parameter value)

16

The NF- κ B – I κ B oscillatory feedback loop



- · Central mediator of inflammatory response.
- NF-κB is a transcription factor.
- · Normally held in the cytoplasm in a complex with IkB proteins.
- · Inflammatory signals activate IKK, which induces the degradation of the IkB proteins - releasing NF-κB, which enters the nucleus and regulates transcription.
- Negative feedback via $I\kappa B\alpha$ results in oscillations.

17

18



The NF- κ B – I κ B oscillatory feedback loop

Wednesday, 11 July 12





19

21

Parameter Sensitivity (T3)

- Construct ODE model representing the interaction network (26 variables, 64 parameters)
- · Find parameters that reproduce observed oscillations







Wednesday, 11 July 12



Parameter Sensitivity



- Only 9 out of 64 parameters have a significant impact when altered by 10% (ISI > 0.2). The same parameters were significant for other features.
- The most significant parameters are different for larger parameter changes (100%), due to model nonlinearity.
- All 9 parameters refer to reactions involving only free IKK and $l\kappa B\alpha-$ suggesting that model reduction might be possible.

22

Segmental gene expression in the Drosophila embryo: pair-rule stripes



23

The segment polarity network

- A spatially distributed network, involving signalling between neighbouring cells.
- Ovals = mRNAs, rectangles = proteins, hexagons = protein complexes.





- Characteristic expression pattern has a 4-cell periodicity (a).
- 'Crisp' (b) and 'Degraded' (c) initial conditions.





ODE Model: parameter search

- The model has 12 variables per cell and 48 parameters.
 Parameter values are unknown and no quantitative data are available for inference.
- Perform random searches of parameter space: Given the (experimentally-established) network topology and initial conditions, for which parameter sets does a suitable stable pattern emerge?
- 1,192 'solutions' out of 240,000 sets (1/200).
- On average, a random choice of parameter has a 90% chance of being compatible with the desired behaviour (0.9⁴⁸ ~ 1/200).

26



Wednesday, 11 July 12

27



Robustness and modularity of the network

- The desired steady state expression pattern is observed very frequently in the random parameter search.
- Most parameters can range over several orders of magnitude.
- Local sensitivity analysis: most parameters can vary at least 10fold from base values.
- The desired behaviour is observed frequently using 'degraded' initial stimuli.
- The behaviour is stable if additional complexity is added: the core topology is robust.
- This behaviour is resistant to variation in the kinetic parameters.
- The network is a *minimal module*: the desired behaviour cannot be recovered in a sub-network.
- The network exhibits other behaviours robustly.

Discussion

- · Parameter estimation is a challenging research area.
- There may not be a unique best fit.
- The more data the better (as long as it is good quality). (Modellers will ALWAYS ask for more data!!!)
- Parameter sensitivity characterises how solution features vary with parameters.
- Sensitivity is intimately linked to estimation if a feature is sensitive to parameter variation, it is more likely to be constrained by available data.
- Next practical: COPASI for parameter estimation-& sensitivity analysis (but you can do this in COPASI...)

29

30