# Modified extract from Chapter 5: Lebesgue outer measure, Lebesgue measurable sets and Lebesgue measure

### **Definition 5.9**

Let *X* be a set, and let

$$\mu^* \colon \mathcal{P}(X) \to [0,\infty].$$

Then  $\mu^*$  is an *outer measure* on X if

- (i)  $\mu^*(\phi) = 0$ ,
- (ii) if  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $A \subseteq B$  then  $\mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(B)$  ( $\mu^*$  is monotone),
- (iii) if  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , where  $A, A_n$  are subsets of X, then  $\mu^*(A) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_n)$ . ( $\mu^*$  is countably subadditive.)

#### Note

An outer measure on X is defined on all subsets of X.

### Examples

- (i) Any measure on  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is also an outer measure on X.
- (ii) Defining

$$\mu^*(E) = \begin{cases} 0 & (E = \emptyset), \\ 1 & (E \neq \emptyset), \end{cases}$$

defines an outer measure which is not a measure (provided that X has at least two points!).

## **Definition 5.10**

Let X be a set and let  $\mu^*$  be an outer measure on X. A set  $A \subseteq X$  is said to be *measurable with* respect to  $\mu^*$  (or  $\mu^*$ -measurable) if, for every set  $E \subseteq X$ , the equality

$$\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(E \setminus A) + \mu^*(E \cap A)$$

holds.

### Theorem 5.13

Let  $\mu^*$  be an outer measure on a non-empty set X. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of  $\mu^*$ -measurable subsets of X. Then

- (i)  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field on X,
- (ii) for any set  $E \subseteq X$  and pairwise disjoint sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E \cap A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E \cap A_n),$$

(iii) the restriction of  $\mu^*$  to  $\mathcal{F}$  is a measure.

In fact the measure on  $\mathcal{F}$  obtained this way is always *complete* in the sense discussed on question sheet 3.

The construction of Lebesgue measure is now based on the following facts about Lebesgue outer measure,  $\lambda^*$ . Recall we are using the following definition. Let *E* be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Define  $S_E$  to be the following set of extended real numbers

$$S_E = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n): a_n \le b_n \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n] \right\}$$

Then  $\lambda^*(E) = \inf(S_E)$ .

We need the following facts:

(i)  $\lambda^*$  really *is* an outer measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(ii) Every half-open interval A = (a,b] is  $\lambda^*$ -measurable, and for such A,  $\lambda^*(A) = b - a$  (the length of A).

 $\lambda^*$ -measurable sets are also called *Lebesgue measurable* sets.

Given these facts, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all  $\lambda^*$ -measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We know that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field and that it contains our semi-ring P of all half-open intervals (a,b]. Since P generates the Borel sets we see that every Borel set is Lebesgue measurable.

We now have that the restriction of  $\lambda^*$  to  $\mathcal{F}$  is a measure. We call this measure *Lebesgue measure on*  $\mathbb{R}$  and denote it by  $\lambda$ . In fact  $\lambda$  is a complete measure on  $\mathcal{F}$ , and is the completion of the measure you get by restricting  $\lambda$  to the Borel sets. Note that for every half-open interval A=(a,b] we have  $\lambda(A)=\lambda^*(A)=b-a$ . It follows fairly easily that  $\lambda$  is the length of A for all sets which are finite unions of any kind of intervals. (See question sheet 5 for the various types of intervals.) This makes it reasonable to take Lebesgue measure,  $\lambda$ , as our notion of length.

Unfortunately, not all subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  are Lebesgue measurable. We proved this earlier in the lectures when we constructed a non-measurable set. Full details may also be found in Chapter 5 of the printed notes.