

# BANK-LAINE FUNCTIONS VIA QUASICONFORMAL SURGERY

D. DRASIN AND J. K. LANGLEY

ABSTRACT. Using quasiconformal surgery we construct new examples of entire functions  $E$  such that  $E(z) = 0$  implies  $E'(z) = \pm 1$ , these associated with second order linear differential equations with transcendental coefficients. We also extend some previous results on the zero sequences of such functions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A Bank-Laine function [20, 21] is an entire function  $E$  such that  $E'(z) = \pm 1$  whenever  $E(z) = 0$ . These functions arise from differential equations [2]. Indeed, let  $A$  be entire, and  $f_1, f_2$  be linearly independent solutions of the equation

$$(1) \quad w'' + A(z)w = 0,$$

normalized so that the Wronskian  $W = W(f_1, f_2) = f_1 f_2' - f_1' f_2$  satisfies  $W = 1$ . Then  $E = f_1 f_2$  is a Bank-Laine function and satisfies

$$(2) \quad 4A = (E'/E)^2 - 2E''/E - 1/E^2.$$

Conversely, if  $E$  is any Bank-Laine function then [4] the function  $A$  defined by (2) is entire, and  $E$  is the product of linearly independent normalized solutions of (1) [2]. Extensive research in recent years has concerned the exponent of convergence  $\lambda(f_j)$  of the zeros of solutions  $f_j$ , in connection with the order of growth  $\rho(A)$  and lower order  $\mu(A)$  of the coefficient  $A$ , these defined using standard notation from [14] by

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho(A) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ T(r, A)}{\log r}, \\ \mu(A) &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ T(r, A)}{\log r}, \\ \lambda(f_j) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ N(r, 1/f_j)}{\log r}. \end{aligned}$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30D35.

This research was partly carried out during a visit by the first author to the University of Nottingham, funded by EPSRC. Both authors thank Walter Bergweiler and John Rossi for helpful discussions.

The *Bank-Laine conjecture* asserts that the condition

$$(4) \quad A \text{ transcendental, } \rho(A) < \infty, \quad \max\{\lambda(f_1), \lambda(f_2)\} < \infty$$

implies that  $\rho(A)$  is a positive integer, and this is known to be true [2] under the stronger condition  $\max\{\lambda(f_1), \lambda(f_2)\} < \rho(A) < \infty$ . It is known further that (4) implies that  $\rho(A) > 1/2$  [26, 27] and that  $E$  has finite order [2]. Further results, and analogues for higher order equations, may be found in [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 24].

Note that if  $E$  is a Bank-Laine function of finite order then by (2) the associated coefficient function  $A$  satisfies

$$(5) \quad T(r, A) = m(r, A) = 2m(r, 1/E) + O(\log r).$$

In particular, if  $\delta(0, E) > 0$  then  $A$  is transcendental.

It appears to be relatively difficult to construct Bank-Laine functions of finite order associated via (2) with transcendental coefficient functions  $A$ . The simplest [2, 20, 28] are of the following form: given any polynomial  $P$  having only simple zeros, Lagrange interpolation gives a non-constant polynomial  $Q$  such that  $Pe^Q$  is a Bank-Laine function. A second class arises from equations having periodic coefficients [3], leading to Bank-Laine functions of form  $E(z) = P(e^{\alpha z}) \exp(\beta z)$ , with  $P$  a polynomial and  $\alpha, \beta$  constants. Of course, all examples arising in this way have  $\lambda(E) \leq 1$ . We also note that in [20] quasiconformal modifications, applied to the quotient  $f_1/f_2$ , were used to convert a Bank-Laine function  $f_1(z)f_2(z) = \exp(q(z)) \sin \pi z$ , with  $q$  a polynomial, into a Bank-Laine function  $E(z)$  of finite order, with infinitely many zeros and transcendental associated coefficient function  $A$ , but having no representation of the form  $E(z) = P(e^{\alpha z}) \exp(Q(z))$ , with  $P, Q$  polynomials and  $\alpha$  constant. This construction may be modified to give  $\rho(E)$  finite but arbitrarily large, but we still do not know whether there are functions so obtained with  $\infty > \lambda(E) > 1$ .

Another result [21] shows that the zero set of a Bank-Laine function may be very sparse. Indeed, if  $(c_n)$  is a positive sequence tending to  $+\infty$  then there exists a Bank-Laine function

$$E(z) = e^z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - z/\alpha_n),$$

with  $|\alpha_n| > c_n$  for each  $n$ . Further,  $\rho(E) = 1$  and  $\lambda(E) = 0$  and  $E$  is the product  $f_1 f_2$  of normalized linearly independent solutions of an equation (1), with  $A$  transcendental, and  $f_1$  has no zeros. These  $\alpha_n$  may be chosen close to, but not on, the positive imaginary axis.

In the present paper we employ the quasiconformal surgery method of Shishikura [29] to produce examples with  $\lambda(E) = \rho(E)$  finite but arbitrarily large.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Then there exists a Bank-Laine function  $E$ , associated with a transcendental coefficient function  $A$ , such*

that, in the notation (1), the order  $\rho(E)$ , lower order  $\mu(E)$ , and exponent of convergence  $\lambda(E)$  of the zeros of  $E$ , satisfy  $n \leq \mu(E) \leq \rho(E) = \lambda(E) < \infty$ .

The construction of Theorem 1.1 starts from a function  $f$  of integer order  $n \geq 2$  which satisfies the Bank-Laine condition at all but one of its zeros. Quasiconformal surgery is applied to  $z + f(z)$ , leading to a Bank-Laine function  $E$  which has  $\lambda(E) = \rho(E) \geq \mu(E) \geq n$ . It is not clear from our methods whether, as seems likely, the order  $\rho(E)$  is preserved by this construction (and so would also be  $n$ ). This is related to the question of how order is transformed by quasiconformal surgery.

We turn next to some results on the zero sequences of Bank-Laine functions. It was observed by Shen [28] that if  $(a_n)$  is a complex sequence tending to infinity without repetition, then there exists a Bank-Laine function  $F$  with zero sequence  $(a_n)$ , the construction based on the Mittag-Leffler theorem. A natural question arising from both this observation and the Bank-Laine conjecture is the following: for which sequences  $(a_n)$  with finite exponent of convergence does there exist a Bank-Laine function  $E$  of finite order with zero sequence  $(a_n)$ ? A negative answer for sufficiently sparse sequences lying on a line was given in [21]: if  $L$  is a straight line in the complex plane and  $(a_n)$  is a sequence of pairwise distinct complex numbers, all lying on  $L$ , such that  $|a_n| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$(6) \quad \sum_{a_n \neq 0} |a_n|^{-1} < \infty,$$

then there cannot exist a Bank-Laine function of finite order with zero sequence  $(a_n)$ .

The obvious example  $\sin z$  shows that the condition (6) is not redundant, but we show here that it may be weakened to minimal type, and to mean type if the  $a_n$  all lie on a ray.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of pairwise distinct real numbers, such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| = \infty$ , and let  $n(r)$  be the number of  $a_n$  lying in  $|z| \leq r$ . Assume that at least one of the following holds:*

- (i) *we have  $n(r) = o(r)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ;*
- (ii) *the  $a_n$  are all positive, and  $n(r) = O(r)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Then there is no Bank-Laine function of finite order with zero sequence  $(a_n)$ .*

It seems likely that if  $(a_n)$  is any strictly increasing positive sequence with limit  $\infty$  then there is no Bank-Laine function of finite order with zero sequence  $(a_n)$ , but the present methods do not suffice to prove this.

## 2. LEMMAS NEEDED FOR THEOREM 1.1

For  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $r > 0$  we use the standard notation

$$B(a, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - a| < r\}, \quad C(a, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - a| = r\}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\phi$  be a  $K$ -quasiconformal homeomorphism of the extended plane, fixing  $0$  and  $\infty$ . Then there exist positive constants  $d_j$  with the following properties.*

- (i)  $|z|^{1/d_1} \leq |\phi(z)| \leq |z|^{d_1}$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $|\phi(z) - \phi(w)| \leq |z - w|^{d_2} |w|^{d_3}$  for large  $z, w$  with  $|z - w| \leq 1$ .
- (iii)  $|\phi(u)| \geq d_4 |\phi(v)|$  for large  $u, v$  with  $|u| = |v|$ .

All assertions of Lemma 2.1 are standard [1, 22]. In particular, (iii) may be proved by considering  $\psi(z) = \phi(zv)/\phi(v)$ , since the  $K$ -quasiconformal mappings fixing  $0, 1, \infty$  form an equicontinuous family.

The key tool for our construction is Shishikura's main lemma on quasiconformal surgery [8, 29], in the following form.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be quasiregular, and let  $W$  be a non-empty open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Assume that  $g$  maps  $W$  into  $W$ , and that  $\bar{\partial}g = 0$  a.e. on  $W$  and on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus g^{-N}(W)$ , for some integer  $N > 0$ . Then there exist an entire function  $h$  and a quasiconformal homeomorphism  $\phi$  of the extended plane fixing  $0, 1, \infty$ , such that  $g \equiv \phi^{-1} \circ h \circ \phi$ . Further,  $\phi$  is conformal on  $W$  and on the interior of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} g^{-m}(W)$ .*

*Proof.* We sketch the proof from [8, 29]. Set

$$W_0 = W, \quad W_{m+1} = g^{-m-1}(W) \setminus g^{-m}(W) \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

and

$$K = \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} g^{-m}(W).$$

Define a Beltrami coefficient  $\mu(z)$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  as follows. For  $z \in W_0 \cup K$  we set  $\mu = 0$ . Assuming that  $\mu$  has been defined on  $W_m$ , we then define  $\mu$  for  $w \in W_{m+1}$  by

$$(7) \quad \mu(w) = \frac{\mu_g(w) + \mu(g(w))A(w)}{1 + \mu(g(w))\overline{\mu_g(w)}A(w)}, \quad A = \frac{\overline{g_w}}{g_w}.$$

In this way,  $\mu$  is defined inductively a.e. on  $\mathbb{C}$  and, since  $\mu_g(w) = 0$  a.e. on  $W_m$  for  $m > N$ , we have  $|\mu(w)| \leq \kappa < 1$  a.e. We then define  $\phi$  to be the quasiconformal homeomorphism of the extended plane fixing  $0, 1, \infty$ , and with complex dilatation  $\mu$ , and (7) gives  $\mu_{\phi \circ g} = \mu_{\phi}$  a.e., so that  $\phi \circ g = h \circ \phi$ , with  $h$  entire.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Theorem 1.1 is trivially true when  $n = 1$ : we may take, for example, the function  $E(z) = e^{2z} - e^z$ ; since  $\delta(0, E) = \frac{1}{2}$ , we see from (5) that the coefficient function  $A$  must be transcendental.

Now let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer, and set

$$(8) \quad F(z) = z + f(z), \quad f(z) = \frac{e^{-2zn} - e^{-zn}}{nz^{n-1}}.$$

Thus  $f$  and  $F$  are entire, and  $f$  has zeros wherever  $e^{z^n} = 1$ . At such a zero  $z$  with  $z \neq 0$  we have  $f'(z) = -1$ . Near 0 we have

$$f(z) \sim \frac{-2z^n + z^n}{nz^{n-1}} = -\frac{z}{n}.$$

It follows that all nonzero fixpoints of  $F$  are superattracting, i.e. have multiplier  $F'(z) = 0$ , while 0 is attracting with  $F'(0) = 1 - 1/n \in (0, 1)$ . The new idea is to perform quasiconformal surgery so that this fixpoint is also superattracting.

Our first lemma of this section follows at once from (8).

**Lemma 3.1.** *For small positive  $\delta$  and  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1$  let*

$$(9) \quad \theta_j = \frac{j\pi}{n}, \quad S_{j,\delta} = \{z : z \neq 0, |\arg z - \theta_j| < \frac{\pi}{2n} - \delta\}.$$

*Then there exists  $c(\delta) > 0$  such that, for large  $z$  in  $S_{j,\delta}$ ,*

$$\log |f(z)| > c(\delta)|z|^n \quad (j \text{ odd}), \quad \log |f(z)| < -c(\delta)|z|^n \quad (j \text{ even}).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\lambda$  be an unbounded path in the complex plane. Then  $F$  is unbounded on  $\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* We use a standard argument based on harmonic measure. Choose small positive constants  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$ . As  $z \rightarrow \infty$  in  $S_{j,\delta}$  we have  $F(z)/z \sim 1$  if  $j$  is even, and  $F(z)/z \rightarrow \infty$  if  $j$  is odd.

Assume now that  $\lambda$  is an unbounded path on which  $F(z)$  is bounded. Then  $p(z) = F(z)/z$  is small for large  $z$  on  $\lambda$ . Thus there exists, for arbitrarily large positive  $R$ , a simple arc  $\lambda_R \subseteq \lambda$  joining  $C(0, \sqrt{R})$  to  $C(0, R^2)$  and, apart from its endpoints, lying in  $\sqrt{R} < |z| < R^2$ , and with  $|p(z)| < \varepsilon$  on  $\lambda_R$ . It is clear from Lemma 3.1 that  $\lambda_R$  must lie in one of the regions between the  $S_{j,\delta}$ . Since  $\delta$  is small we may therefore connect  $C(0, \sqrt{R})$  to  $C(0, R^2)$  by a radial line segment  $\tau_R$  lying in one of the sets  $S_{j,2\delta} \setminus S_{j,4\delta}$  with  $j$  even, and such that the angular distance between  $\tau_R$  and  $\lambda_R$  is at least  $\delta$  but at most  $5\delta$ . Since  $j$  is even and  $R$  is large we have  $|p(z) - 1| < \varepsilon$  on  $\tau_R$ .

In this way we obtain a domain  $D_R$  bounded by  $\lambda_R$ ,  $\tau_R$  and small arcs  $U_{\sqrt{R}}$  and  $V_{R^2}$  of  $C(0, \sqrt{R})$  and  $C(0, R^2)$ . Since  $\delta$  is small standard estimates for harmonic measure [31, p.117] now give that

$$\omega(z, D_R, U_{\sqrt{R}} \cup V_{R^2}) < R^{-4n}, \quad z \in D_R, \quad |z| = R,$$

so that since  $p$  has order at most  $n$  we obtain, provided  $R$  is large enough,

$$|p(z)(p(z) - 1)| < 2\varepsilon, \quad z \in D_R, \quad |z| = R.$$

Choosing  $z \in D_R$  with  $|z| = R$  and  $|p(z)| = |p(z) - 1|$  gives an immediate contradiction.  $\square$

We apply next some completely standard facts from iteration theory [8, 9, 30]. The attracting fixpoint 0 of  $F$  lies in a simply connected component  $D$  of the Fatou set of  $F$ , with  $F(D) \subseteq D$ . Let  $H$  map  $D$  conformally onto  $\Delta = B(0, 1)$ , with  $H(0) = 0$  and  $H'(0) > 0$ , and define  $G = H \circ F \circ H^{-1}$  on

$\Delta$ . Thus  $G$  maps  $\Delta$  into itself, with  $G(0) = 0$  and  $G'(0) = 1 - 1/n$ . Further,  $F$  has at least one critical point  $z_1$  in  $D$ . Were this not the case  $F^{-1}$  would admit analytic continuation throughout  $D$ , since  $F$  has no finite asymptotic values and the boundary of  $D$  lies in the Julia set of  $F$ , and this would imply that  $F$  is a conformal self-map of  $D$  and  $G$  is a conformal self-map of  $\Delta$ , contradicting the fact that  $|G'(0)| < 1$ .

The next step of our construction is based on [10, p.106]. Let  $w_1 = H(z_1)$  and choose  $R$  such that  $|w_1| < R < 1$  and such that  $G$  has no critical values on  $|u| = R$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $V_1$  be that component of the set  $\{w : |G(w)| < R\}$  which contains 0. Let  $U_1 = H^{-1}(V_1)$  and  $U_2 = H^{-1}(B(0, R))$ , and set  $\gamma_j = \partial U_j$ . Then the  $\gamma_j$  are disjoint analytic Jordan curves surrounding the origin, such that  $\gamma_2$  separates  $\gamma_1$  from 0. Further,  $F$  maps  $U_1$  properly onto  $U_2$ , and  $\gamma_1$  onto  $\gamma_2$ , the mapping  $q$ -to-one for some  $q > 1$ .*

*Proof.* The component  $V_1$  is simply connected by the maximum principle, and therefore so is  $U_1$ . Next,  $F(U_1)$  is bounded, being a subset of  $H^{-1}(B(0, R))$ , and so it follows from Lemma 3.2 that  $U_1$  is bounded. Thus the closure of  $V_1$  lies in  $\Delta$ , and so  $G$  maps  $V_1$  properly onto  $B(0, R)$  and  $F$  maps  $U_1$  properly onto  $U_2$ . By Schwarz' lemma  $V_1$  contains a disc  $B(0, R')$ ,  $R' > R$ . Thus  $G$  has at least one critical point in  $V_1$ , and the mapping is  $q$ -to-one for some  $q > 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $P(z) = H(z)^q$  on  $U_2 \cup \gamma_2$ . Then  $P(z)$  extends to a function continuous on  $U_1 \cup \gamma_1$ , quasiregular on  $U_1$ , with  $P(z) \equiv H(F(z))$  on  $\gamma_1$ . Further,  $P$  maps  $U_1$  into  $B(0, R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega$  be the doubly connected domain bounded by  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ , and let  $z = s(\zeta)$  map an annulus  $A$  given by  $S < |\zeta| < T$  conformally onto  $\Omega$ , with inner boundary mapped to inner boundary. Since  $|H(s(\zeta))| \rightarrow R$  as  $|\zeta| \rightarrow S$  it follows from the reflection principle that  $(H \circ s)^q$  has an analytic and univalent extension to a neighbourhood of each point of  $C(0, S)$ , and so has  $s$ . Similarly, since  $H \circ F$  maps  $\gamma_1$  onto  $C(0, R)$ , we see that  $H \circ F \circ s$  extends analytically and univalently to a neighbourhood of each point of  $C(0, T)$ , and again so does  $s$ . For real  $t$  we may then write

$$(10) \quad P(s(Se^{it})) = R_1 \exp(i\psi_1(t)), \quad H(F(s(Te^{it}))) = R_2 \exp(i\psi_2(t)),$$

in each which  $\psi_j : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^1$  with positive derivative, and

$$(11) \quad R_1 = R^q < R = R_2, \quad \psi_j(\pi) = \psi_j(-\pi) + 2\pi q.$$

Using (10) and (11) we readily extend  $P$  to the annulus  $A = s^{-1}(\Omega)$  as  $P(s(\zeta)) = \exp(Q(\zeta))$ , in which, for  $S \leq r \leq T$  and  $-\pi \leq t \leq \pi$ ,

$$(12) \quad \left(\log \frac{T}{S}\right) Q(re^{it}) = \left(\log \frac{r}{S}\right) (\log R_2 + i\psi_2(t)) - \left(\log \frac{r}{T}\right) (\log R_1 + i\psi_1(t)).$$

A straightforward computation from (12) shows that  $Q(\zeta)$  is a locally quasiconformal function of  $\log \zeta$ , its dilatation uniformly bounded since the  $\psi_j$  are  $C^1$ . Since  $|P(z)| = R$  for  $z \in \gamma_1$ , the last assertion follows from the maximum principle. Lemma 3.4 is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Define  $g(z)$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  by  $g(z) = H^{-1}(P(z))$  for  $z \in U_1$ , with  $P$  as in Lemma 3.4, and set  $g(z) = F(z)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U_1$ . Then:*

- (i)  $g$  is analytic on  $W = U_2$  and on the exterior of  $\gamma_1$ ;
- (ii)  $g$  is quasiregular on  $\mathbb{C}$ ;
- (iii)  $g$  maps  $U_1$  into  $U_2$ , and the closure of  $U_1$  into  $U_1$ ;
- (iv)  $\bar{\partial}g = 0$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus g^{-2}(W)$ ;
- (v)  $g$  has a fixpoint at 0, and no other fixpoint in the closure of  $U_1$ ;
- (vi) if  $z^*$  is a fixpoint of  $g$  then  $g$  is analytic near  $z^*$  and  $z^*$  is superattracting.

*Proof.* We first note that (i) is obvious. To prove (ii) we need only note that  $g$  is quasiregular on  $U_1$  and analytic outside  $\gamma_1$ , continuous on  $\mathbb{C}$ , and that  $\gamma_1$  has two-dimensional Lebesgue measure 0. Next, (iii) holds since  $P$  maps  $U_1$  into  $B(0, R)$ , and (iv) follows, since if  $g(g(z_0)) \notin W$  then  $z_0$  lies outside  $\gamma_1$  and  $g(z) = F(z)$  near  $z_0$ . Obviously  $g(0) = 0$ , since  $H(0) = 0$ , and for  $z$  in the closure of  $U_1$  the iterates  $g_m$  of  $g$  satisfy, using Lemma 3.4,

$$g_2(z) \in U_2, \quad g_{m+2}(z) = H^{-1}(H(g_2(z))^{q^m}) \rightarrow H^{-1}(0) = 0,$$

and this proves (v). Assertion (vi) is now obvious.  $\square$

In particular, the fixpoint 0, which was only attracting for  $F$  in (8), is now superattracting for  $g$ . By Lemma 2.2, there exists a quasiconformal homeomorphism  $\phi$  of the extended plane, fixing 0, 1,  $\infty$ , and an entire function  $h$  with  $g \equiv \phi^{-1} \circ h \circ \phi$ . Further,  $\phi$  is conformal on  $W = U_2$  and on the interior of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} g^{-m}(W)$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *All fixpoints of  $h$  are superattracting, and  $z^*$  is a fixpoint of  $g$  if and only if  $\phi(z^*)$  is a fixpoint of  $h$ .*

*Proof.* The second assertion of the lemma is obvious, and it is clear that 0 is a superattracting fixpoint of  $h$ , since  $\phi$  is conformal near 0. Next, let  $z^* \neq 0$  be a fixpoint of  $g$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $z^*$  is superattracting and there exists an invariant neighbourhood  $U^*$  of  $z^*$ , so that in particular  $U^* \cap g^{-m}(W) = \emptyset$  for every  $m \geq 1$ . Thus  $\phi$  is conformal near  $z^*$  and so  $h'(\phi(z^*)) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $E(z) = h(z) - z$ . Then  $E$  is a Bank-Laine function of finite order.*

*Proof.*  $E$  is a Bank-Laine function since all fixpoints of  $h$  are superattracting, and  $E$  has finite order by Lemma 2.1(i).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** *There exist  $c_0 > 0$  and a family of discs  $B_k$ , having finite sum of radii, such that*

$$(13) \quad |E''(z)/E(z)| + |E'(z)/E(z)| \leq |z|^{c_0}, \quad z \notin B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k.$$

Further, each image  $\psi(B_k)$  under the inverse map  $\psi$  of  $\phi$  is contained in a disc  $B_k^*$ , these discs also having finite sum of radii.

*Proof.* Since  $E$  has finite order we may take  $B_k = B(u_k, |u_k|^{-M_1})$  for  $k \geq 2$ , with  $M_1$  a large positive constant and the  $u_k$  zeros of  $E$ , and (13) follows from a standard application of the differentiated Poisson-Jensen formula [14, p.22]. The assertion concerning the images  $\psi(B_k)$  follows from Lemma 2.1 (i) and (ii).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $\delta$  be small and positive, and let  $\theta_j$  and  $S_{j,\delta}$ , for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1$ , be as in (9). Then there exists  $c > 0$  such that the following hold.*

(i) *If  $j$  is odd then*

$$(14) \quad \log |E(z)| \geq |z|^c, \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad z \in \phi(S_{j,\delta}).$$

(ii) *If  $j$  is even then*

$$(15) \quad \log |E(z)| \leq -|z|^c, \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad z \in \phi(S_{j,\delta}).$$

Further, each  $\phi(S_{j,\delta})$  contains a path  $\sigma_j$  tending to infinity and meeting none of the discs  $B_k$  of Lemma 3.8, on which

$$(16) \quad (-1)^{j+1} \frac{\log |E(z)|}{\log |z|} \rightarrow +\infty$$

as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* We use  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  to denote positive constants. If  $j$  is odd then Lemma 3.1 implies that  $g(\psi(z)) = F(\psi(z))$  is large for large  $z$  in  $\phi(S_{j,\delta})$  so that using Lemma 2.1 (i) we get

$$\log |h(z)| = \log |\phi(g(\psi(z)))| \geq c_1 \log |F(\psi(z))| \geq c_2 |\psi(z)|^n \geq c_3 |z|^{n/c_4},$$

which gives (14). Next, let  $j$  be even. Then  $F(\psi(z)) \sim \psi(z)$  for large  $z$  in  $\phi(S_{j,\delta})$  and applying Lemma 2.1 (ii) and Lemma 3.1 we get

$$|g(\psi(z)) - \psi(z)| = |f(\psi(z))| < |\exp(-c(\delta)|\psi(z)|^n)| < \exp(-c(\delta)|z|^{n/c_5}),$$

and so

$$|E(z)| = |h(z) - z| \leq |\psi(z)|^{c_6} |g(\psi(z)) - \psi(z)|^{c_7} < \exp(-c_8 |z|^{n/c_9}).$$

Finally, the existence of a suitable path  $\sigma_j$  follows from Lemma 3.8: we need only ensure that  $\psi(\sigma_j)$  lies in  $S_{j,\delta}$  and avoids the discs  $B_k^*$ .  $\square$

Since  $E$  is a Bank-Laine function,  $E$  is the product of linearly independent solutions of (1), in which  $A$  is given by (2) and is entire, with  $\rho(A) \leq \rho(E)$ .

**Lemma 3.10.** *The coefficient function  $A$  is transcendental, and the lower order  $\tau$  of  $E$  is at least  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Take one of the paths  $\sigma_j$  of Lemma 3.9, with  $j$  even. Then  $E$  is small and  $A$  is large as  $z$  tends to infinity on  $\sigma_j$ , by (2), (13) and (16). This proves that  $A$  is transcendental.

We prove next that  $E$  has lower order  $\tau \geq n$ , the method being essentially that of [26]. By (2) and (13) there exists a positive integer  $N_1$  such that for large  $z$  not in the exceptional set  $B$  of Lemma 3.8 we have

$$(17) \quad |E(z)| \geq |z|^{N_1} \Rightarrow |A(z)| \leq |z|^{N_1-1}, \quad |E(z)| \leq |z|^{-N_1} \Rightarrow |A(z)| \geq |z|^{N_1+1}.$$

Choose polynomials  $P_1, Q_1$  of degree less than  $N_1$  such that

$$E_1(z) = z^{-N_1}(E(z) - P_1(z)), \quad A_1(z) = z^{-N_1}(A(z) - Q_1(z))$$

are entire. Lemma 3.9 gives us  $2n$  paths  $\sigma_j$  each tending to infinity and avoiding the exceptional set  $B$ , and such that  $E_1(z) \rightarrow 0$  and  $A_1(z) \rightarrow \infty$  on  $\sigma_j$ , if  $j$  is even, and vice versa if  $j$  is odd. With  $N_2$  a large positive constant the set  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |E_1(z)| > N_2\}$  therefore has at least  $n$  components  $D_1, \dots, D_n$ , and similarly the set  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |A_1(z)| > N_2\}$  has at least  $n$  components  $D_{n+1}, \dots, D_{2n}$ . Further, the intersection  $D_j \cap D_{j'}, j \neq j'$ , lies in the exceptional set  $B$  of (13).

Let  $\theta_j(t)$  denote the angular measure of the intersection of  $D_j$  with the circle  $|z| = t > 0$ . Since (5) gives

$$\log M(2r, A_1) \leq 3T(4r, A) + O(\log r) \leq 6T(4r, E) + O(\log r),$$

there is a sequence  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$  with

$$\log M(2r_n, A_1) + \log M(2r_n, E_1) = O(r_n^{\tau+o(1)}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Applying a standard estimate for harmonic measure [31, p.117] we get

$$(18) \quad \pi \int_1^{r_n} \frac{dt}{t\theta_j(t)} \leq (\tau + o(1)) \log r_n, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

But a standard application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$4n^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \theta_j(t) \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{\theta_j(t)} \leq (2\pi + o(1)) \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{\theta_j(t)},$$

which with (18) gives  $n \leq \tau$ . □

**Lemma 3.11.** *There exists  $K > 0$  such that  $\log M(r, E) \leq Kn(Kr, 1/E)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular,  $\lambda(E) = \rho(E)$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$M(r, \phi) = \max\{|\phi(z)| : |z| = r\}, \quad m_0(r, \phi) = \min\{|\phi(z)| : |z| = r\}.$$

We have, using Lemma 2.1 (i),

$$(19) \quad \log M(m_0(r, \phi), h) \leq \log M(r, h \circ \phi) = \log M(\phi \circ F) \leq cr^n$$

as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, since  $\phi(z^*)$  is a zero of  $E$  for every zero  $z^*$  of  $f$  with  $z^*$  large, we get

$$cr^n \leq n(r, 1/f) \leq n(M(r, \phi), 1/E) + c \leq n(cm_0(r, \phi), 1/E) + c,$$

using (8) and Lemma 2.1 (iii), which with (19) proves the lemma.  $\square$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

#### 4. A RESULT NEEDED FOR THEOREM 1.2

Theorem 1.2 will be deduced from the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $E$  be a Bank-Laine function of finite order such that  $E$  has infinitely many zeros, all real, and such that  $E$  is real on the real axis. Then  $\delta(0, E) < 1$ .*

The examples  $e^z$  and  $(1/\pi) \exp(2\pi iz^2) \sin(\pi z)$  show that the assumptions that  $E$  is real and has infinitely many zeros are not redundant in Theorem 4.1.

To prove Theorem 4.1, we assume that  $E$  satisfies the hypotheses, but that  $\delta(0, E) = 1$ . We assume further, without loss of generality, that  $E$  has infinitely many zeros on the positive real axis. By a theorem of Pfluger [25],  $\rho$  is a positive integer. Results from [11, 12, 13] give continuous functions  $L(r), L_2(r)$  such that

$$(20) \quad L(r) > 0, \quad L(cr) = L(r)(1 + o(1)), \quad L_2(cr) = L_2(r) + o(1)$$

as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly for  $1 \leq c \leq 2$ , and such that

$$(21) \quad \log |E(re^{i\theta})| = L(r)r^\rho(\cos \rho(\theta - L_2(r))) + o(1)$$

uniformly in  $\theta$ , as  $re^{i\theta}$  tends to infinity outside a  $C^0$  set [23], a union  $U$  of open discs  $B(z_k, r_k)$  of centre  $z_k$  and radius  $r_k$  such that  $\sum_{|z_k| < r} r_k = o(r)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *We may take  $L_2(r) \equiv 0$ .*

*Proof.* We may certainly take  $L_2(r) \equiv 0$  or  $L_2(r) \equiv \pi/\rho$ . To see this, just choose a small positive  $\theta_0$  such that the rays  $\arg z = \pm\theta_0$  have bounded intersection with the  $C^0$  set  $U$ . Since  $E$  is real on the real axis, we may apply (21) to each of these rays and obtain a contradiction unless  $\sin \rho L_2(r) = o(1)$ .

If  $L_2(r) \equiv \pi/\rho$  then a standard application of (21) and the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle shows that  $E(z)$  is small for large  $z$  with  $|\arg z| < \pi/8\rho$ , and so is  $E'(z)$ . But this contradicts the assumed existence of infinitely many zeros  $\zeta$  of  $E$  on the positive real axis, at which  $E'(\zeta) = \pm 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\varepsilon$  be small and positive. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{E(z)} &= o(1), \\ \frac{E'(z)}{E(z)} &= \rho L(r) z^{\rho-1} (1 + o(1)), \\ (22) \quad \left(\frac{E'}{E}\right)'(z) &= \rho(\rho-1)L(r)z^{\rho-2} + o(L(r)r^{\rho-2}), \end{aligned}$$

as  $|z| = r \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly for  $\varepsilon \leq \arg z \leq 2\varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r$  be large. Since all zeros of  $E$  are real, (20) and (21) and Lemma 4.1 give

$$(23) \quad \log |E(z)| = \operatorname{Re}(L(r)z^\rho) + o(L(r)r^\rho), \quad r/4 \leq |z| \leq 8r, \quad \varepsilon/4 \leq \arg z \leq 8\varepsilon.$$

Set

$$H(z) = \log E(z) - L(r)z^\rho, \quad h(z) = L(r)^{-1}r^{-\rho}H(z).$$

Then (23) and an application of the Borel-Carathéodory inequality give a constant  $d_r$  such that

$$h(z) = d_r + o(1), \quad r/2 \leq |z| \leq 4r, \quad \varepsilon/2 \leq \arg z \leq 4\varepsilon.$$

Thus

$$h'(z) = o(r^{-1}), \quad h''(z) = o(r^{-2}), \quad r \leq |z| \leq 2r, \quad \varepsilon \leq \arg z \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

and this gives (22).  $\square$

We also obtain from (2) that

$$4A = -(E'/E)^2 - 2(E'/E)' - 1/E^2$$

and (20) and (22) now give, using the fact that  $E$  and  $A$  are real on the real axis,

$$(24) \quad 4A(z) = -\rho^2 L(r)^2 z^{2\rho-2} (1 + o(1)), \quad \varepsilon \leq |\arg z| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $r$  be large and positive. Then  $A(r) < 0$ .*

*Proof.* Provided  $\varepsilon$  is small enough, (20), (24) and the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle imply that  $A(z) = O(|z|^{2\rho-1})$  for  $|\arg z| \leq \varepsilon$ . Apply the two constants theorem to the harmonic function  $u(z) = \operatorname{Re}(A(z)z^{2-2\rho})$  on the region  $\Omega_r$  given by  $\sqrt{r} < |z| < r^2$ ,  $|\arg z| < \varepsilon$ , and denote by  $c_j$  positive constants independent of  $r$  and  $\varepsilon$ . Let  $L_0(r) = \min\{L(t) : \sqrt{r} \leq t \leq r^2\}$ . Then  $L_0(r) \geq r^{-o(1)}$  by (20). Now (24) gives

$$u(z) < -c_1 L_0(r)^2, \quad \sqrt{r} \leq |z| \leq r^2, \quad \arg z = \pm\varepsilon.$$

Applying the same standard estimates for harmonic measure [31, p.117] as in Lemma 3.2 shows that

$$A(r)r^{2-2\rho} = \operatorname{Re}(A(r)r^{2-2\rho}) = u(r) \leq -c_1 L_0(r)^2 + O(r^{4\rho-2}r^{-c_2/\varepsilon}) < 0$$

provided  $\varepsilon$  is small enough.  $\square$

We complete the proof of Theorem 4.1 using Green's transform as in [21]. Write  $E = f_1 f_2$ , with  $f_1, f_2$  linearly independent solutions of (1). Suppose with no loss of generality that  $f_1$  has infinitely many zeros on the positive  $x$ -axis. This leads to a contradiction since

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left( f_1'(x) \overline{f_1(x)} \right) = |f_1'(x)|^2 - A(x) |f_1(x)|^2 \geq 0 \quad (x \rightarrow +\infty).$$

This proves Theorem 4.1.

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Assume that  $(a_n)$  is as in the statement and that there exists a Bank-Laine function  $E$  of finite order with zero sequence  $(a_n)$ . Then we may write

$$E(z) = \Pi(z) \exp(P(z) + iQ(z))$$

in which  $\Pi$  is a canonical product, and  $P, Q$  are real polynomials. Since  $E$  is Bank-Laine and has only real zeros, we have  $\exp(iQ(z)) = \pm 1$  at every zero  $z$  of  $E$ , and so may assume that  $Q \equiv 0$ .

In case (i), we are assuming that  $n(r) = o(r)$ , and so  $N(r, 1/E) = o(r)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . But every transcendental Bank-Laine function  $E$  has [26]

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, E)}{r} > 0,$$

and so  $\delta(0, E) = 1$ , which in turn contradicts Theorem 4.1.

Now suppose that  $(a_n)$  satisfies (ii) but not (i). Then  $\sum a_n^{-1}$  diverges, and we may write

$$E(z) = e^{P(z)} \Pi(z), \quad \Pi(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - z/a_k) e^{z/a_k},$$

again with  $P$  a real polynomial. We may also assume that  $P$  has degree at most 1, for otherwise a contradiction arises on applying Theorem 4.1. Now [14, p.29]

$$\log |\Pi(-r)| = \int_0^{\infty} \left( \frac{-r}{t} + \log \left( 1 + \frac{r}{t} \right) \right) dn(t) = -r^2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{n(t)}{t^2(r+t)} dt.$$

But, using [14, p.25],

$$r \int_0^{\infty} \frac{n(t)}{t^2(r+t)} dt \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r \frac{n(t)}{t^2} dt \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\sum a_n^{-1}$  diverges by assumption. It follows at once that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, E)}{r} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, \Pi)}{r} = \infty$$

and again we get  $\delta(0, E) = 1$ , so that applying Theorem 4.1 gives a contradiction.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L.V. Ahlfors, Lectures on quasiconformal mappings, Van Nostrand, Toronto, New York, London 1966.
- [2] S. Bank and I. Laine, On the oscillation theory of  $f'' + Af = 0$  where  $A$  is entire, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 273 (1982), 351-363.
- [3] S. Bank and I. Laine, Representations of solutions of periodic second order linear differential equations, J. reine angew. Math. 344 (1983), 1-21.
- [4] S. Bank and I. Laine, On the zeros of meromorphic solutions of second-order linear differential equations, Comment. Math. Helv. 58 (1983), 656-677.
- [5] S. Bank, I. Laine and J.K. Langley, On the frequency of zeros of solutions of second order linear differential equations, Result. Math. 10 (1986), 8-24.
- [6] S. Bank, I. Laine and J.K. Langley, Oscillation results for solutions of linear differential equations in the complex domain, Result. Math. 16 (1989), 3-15.
- [7] S. Bank and J.K. Langley, Oscillation theory for higher order linear differential equations with entire coefficients, Complex Variables 16 (1991), 163-175.
- [8] A. F. Beardon, Iteration of rational functions, Springer, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1991.
- [9] W. Bergweiler, Iteration of meromorphic functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 29 (1993), 151-188.
- [10] L. Carleson and T.W. Gamelin, Complex dynamics, Springer, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1993.
- [11] D. Drasin, Proof of a conjecture of F. Nevanlinna concerning functions which have deficiency sum two, Acta. Math. 158 (1987), 1-94.
- [12] A. Edrei and W.H.J. Fuchs, Valeurs déficientes et valeurs asymptotiques des fonctions méromorphes, Comment. Math. Helv. 33 (1959), 258-295.
- [13] A. Eremenko, Meromorphic functions with small ramification, Indiana Univ. Math. Journal 42, no. 4 (1994), 1193-1218.
- [14] W.K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions, Oxford at the Clarendon Press, 1964.
- [15] S. Hellerstein, J. Miles and J. Rossi, On the growth of solutions of  $f'' + gf' + hf = 0$ , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 324 (1991), 693-706.
- [16] S. Hellerstein, J. Miles and J. Rossi, On the growth of solutions of certain linear differential equations, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math. 17 (1992), 343-365.
- [17] I. Laine, Nevanlinna theory and complex differential equations, de Gruyter Studies in Math. 15, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin/New York 1993.
- [18] J.K. Langley, Some oscillation theorems for higher order linear differential equations with entire coefficients of small growth, Result. Math. 20 (1991), 517-529.
- [19] J.K. Langley, On entire solutions of linear differential equations with one dominant coefficient, Analysis 15 (1995), 187-204.
- [20] J.K. Langley, Quasiconformal modifications and Bank-Laine functions, Archiv der Math. 71 (1998), 233-239.
- [21] J.K. Langley, Bank-Laine functions with sparse zeros, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001), 1969-1978.
- [22] O. Lehto and K. Virtanen, Quasiconformal mappings in the plane, 2nd edn., Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [23] B.Ja. Levin, Distribution of zeros of entire functions, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1980.
- [24] J. Miles and J. Rossi, Linear combinations of logarithmic derivatives of entire functions with applications to differential equations, Pacific J. Math. 174 (1996), 195-214.
- [25] A. Pfluger, Zur Defektrelation ganzer Funktionen endlicher Ordnung, Comment. Math. Helv. 19 (1946), 91-104.
- [26] J. Rossi, Second order differential equations with transcendental coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1986), 61-66.

- [27] L.C. Shen, Solution to a problem of S. Bank regarding the exponent of convergence of the solutions of a differential equation  $f'' + Af = 0$ , Kexue Tongbao 30 (1985), 1581-1585.
- [28] L.C. Shen, Construction of a differential equation  $y'' + Ay = 0$  with solutions having prescribed zeros, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1985), 544-546.
- [29] M. Shishikura, On the quasi-conformal surgery of rational functions, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup (4) 20 (1987), 1-29.
- [30] N. Steinmetz, Rational iteration, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 16, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin/New York, 1993.
- [31] M. Tsuji, Potential theory in modern function theory, Maruzen, Tokyo, 1959.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE 47907  
USA

*E-mail address:* `drasin@math.purdue.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM, NG7 2RD, UK

*E-mail address:* `jkl@maths.nott.ac.uk`