

Exemples of computations with `shark`

christian wuthrich

June 5th, 2007

A good ordinary example

Let E be the following curve

```
sage : e = EllipticCurve('446d1'); p=5; show(e)
```

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 4x + 4$$

A good ordinary example

Let E be the following curve

```
sage : e = EllipticCurve('446d1'); p=5; show(e)
```

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 4x + 4$$

It has rank 2 and good ordinary reduction at $p = 5$.

```
sage : e.rank()
```

2

```
sage : e.is_ordinary(p)
```

True

But it has anomalous reduction

sage : e.Np(p)

10

But it has anomalous reduction

```
sage : e.Np(p)
```

10

with Tamagawa numbers

```
sage : e.tamagawa_numbers()
```

[2, 1]

But it has anomalous reduction

```
sage : e.Np(p)
```

10

with Tamagawa numbers

```
sage : e.tamagawa_numbers()
```

[2, 1]

and no torsion point in $E(\mathbb{Q})$.

```
sage : tors= e.torsion_order();tors
```

1

The p -adic L-function is approximated by

```
sage : lp = e.padic_lseries(p); lps =
lp.series(5, prec=7); lps
```

$$\begin{aligned}
 &O(5^7) + O(5^4) \cdot T + (5 + 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^2 \\
 &\quad + (2 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^3 \\
 &\quad\quad + (4 \cdot 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^4 \\
 &\quad\quad\quad + (4 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + O(5^3)) \cdot T^5 \\
 &\quad\quad\quad\quad + (1 + 2 \cdot 5 + 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^6 + O(T^7)
 \end{aligned}$$

The p -adic L-function is approximated by

```
sage : lp = e.padic_lseries(p); lps =
lp.series(5, prec=7); lps
```

$$\begin{aligned}
 &O(5^7) + O(5^4) \cdot T + (5 + 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^2 \\
 &\quad + (2 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^3 \\
 &\quad\quad + (4 \cdot 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^4 \\
 &\quad\quad\quad + (4 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + O(5^3)) \cdot T^5 \\
 &\quad\quad\quad\quad + (1 + 2 \cdot 5 + 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^6 + O(T^7)
 \end{aligned}$$

- Here we used a Riemann sum on $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \bigsqcup (a + p^5 \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

The p -adic L-function is approximated by

```
sage : lp = e.padic_lseries(p); lps =
lp.series(5, prec=7); lps
```

$$\begin{aligned}
 &O(5^7) + O(5^4) \cdot T + (5 + 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^2 \\
 &\quad + (2 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^3 \\
 &\quad\quad + (4 \cdot 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^4 \\
 &\quad\quad\quad + (4 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + O(5^3)) \cdot T^5 \\
 &\quad\quad\quad\quad + (1 + 2 \cdot 5 + 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^6 + O(T^7)
 \end{aligned}$$

- Here we used a Riemann sum on $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \bigsqcup (a + p^5 \mathbb{Z}_p)$.
- We have $\text{ord}_{T=0} \mathcal{L}_p(E, T) \leq 2$.

The p -adic L-function is approximated by

```
sage : lp = e.padic_lseries(p); lps =
lp.series(5, prec=7); lps
```

$$\begin{aligned}
 &O(5^7) + O(5^4) \cdot T + (5 + 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^2 \\
 &\quad + (2 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^3 \\
 &\quad\quad + (4 \cdot 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^4 \\
 &\quad\quad\quad + (4 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + O(5^3)) \cdot T^5 \\
 &\quad\quad\quad\quad + (1 + 2 \cdot 5 + 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^6 + O(T^7)
 \end{aligned}$$

- Here we used a Riemann sum on $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \bigsqcup (a + p^5 \mathbb{Z}_p)$.
- We have $\text{ord}_{T=0} \mathcal{L}_p(E, T) \leq 2$.
- The leading term has valuation 1.

The p -adic L-function is approximated by

```
sage : lp = e.padic_lseries(p); lps =
lp.series(5, prec=7); lps
```

$$\begin{aligned}
 &O(5^7) + O(5^4) \cdot T + (5 + 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^2 \\
 &\quad + (2 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^3 \\
 &\quad\quad + (4 \cdot 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^4 \\
 &\quad\quad\quad + (4 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + O(5^3)) \cdot T^5 \\
 &\quad\quad\quad\quad + (1 + 2 \cdot 5 + 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^6 + O(T^7)
 \end{aligned}$$

- Here we used a Riemann sum on $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \bigsqcup (a + p^5 \mathbb{Z}_p)$.
- We have $\text{ord}_{T=0} \mathcal{L}_p(E, T) \leq 2$.
- The leading term has valuation 1.
- The sixth coefficient is a unit.

The p -adic regulator

$$\text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) / \log(\gamma)^2$$

evaluates to

The p -adic regulator

$$\text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) / \log(\gamma)^2$$

evaluates to

```
sage : reg = e.padic_regulator(p); R =
Qp(p, 10); lg = log(R(1+p)); reg = R(reg) / lg^2; reg
2 · 5-1 + 4 + 3 · 5 + 2 · 52 + 54 + 55 + 2 · 56 + 3 · 57 + O(58)
```

The p -adic regulator

$$\text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) / \log(\gamma)^2$$

evaluates to

```
sage : reg = e.padic_regulator(p); R =
Qp(p, 10); lg = log(R(1+p)); reg = R(reg) / lg^2; reg
2 · 5-1 + 4 + 3 · 5 + 2 · 52 + 54 + 55 + 2 · 56 + 3 · 57 + O(58)
```

Its valuation is -1 ; that is minimal for anomalous primes.

Putting things together

```
sage : eps = (1-1/lp.alpha())^2;  
lpstar/eps/reg/e.tamagawa_product()*tors^2
```

$$1 + O(5^3)$$

Putting things together

```
sage : eps = (1-1/lp.alpha())^2;  
lpstar/eps/reg/e.tamagawa_product()*tors^2  
1 + O(5^3)
```

- The valuation of the order of $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)$ is 0. So

$$\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(5) = 0.$$

Putting things together

```
sage : eps = (1-1/lp.alpha())^2;
lpstar/eps/reg/e.tamagawa_product()*tors^2
1 + O(5^3)
```

- The valuation of the order of $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)$ is 0. So

$$\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(5) = 0.$$

- The p -adic BSD predicts $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \equiv 1 \pmod{125}$.

Putting things together

```
sage : eps = (1-1/lp.alpha())^2;
lpstar/eps/reg/e.tamagawa_product()*tors^2
1 + O(5^3)
```

- The valuation of the order of $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)$ is 0. So

$$\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(5) = 0.$$

- The p -adic BSD predicts $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \equiv 1 \pmod{125}$.
- The main conjecture holds for E at p .

Actually we have

$$\mathcal{L}_p(E, T) = T \cdot ((T + 1)^5 - 1) \cdot u$$

for some unit $u \in \Lambda^\times$.

Actually we have

$$\mathcal{L}_p(E, T) = T \cdot ((T + 1)^5 - 1) \cdot u$$

for some unit $u \in \Lambda^\times$. This tells us immediately that

Actually we have

$$\mathcal{L}_p(E, T) = T \cdot ((T + 1)^5 - 1) \cdot u$$

for some unit $u \in \Lambda^\times$. This tells us immediately that

- $\text{rank}(E(\mathbb{Q}_\infty)) = 2 + 4 = 6$ and that

Actually we have

$$\mathcal{L}_p(E, T) = T \cdot ((T + 1)^5 - 1) \cdot u$$

for some unit $u \in \Lambda^\times$. This tells us immediately that

- $\text{rank}(E(\mathbb{Q}_\infty)) = 2 + 4 = 6$ and that
- $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)(p)$ is finite.

Further examples

389a1	5	$1 + O(5^4)$
389a1	7	$1 + O(7^3)$
389a1	11	$1 + O(11^2)$
389a1	13	$1 + O(13^2)$
389a1	17	$1 + O(17^2)$
389a1	19	$1 + O(19^2)$
433a1	5	$4 + 4 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)$
433a1	7	$6 + 6 \cdot 7 + 6 \cdot 7^2 + O(7^3)$
433a1	11	$10 + 10 \cdot 11 + O(11^2)$
433a1	13	$12 + O(13)$
433a1	17	$16 + 16 \cdot 17 + O(17^2)$
433a1	19	$18 + 18 \cdot 19 + O(19^2)$
446d1	5	$1 + O(5^3)$
446d1	7	$1 + O(7^2)$
446d1	11	$1 + O(11^2)$
446d1	13	$1 + O(13^2)$
446d1	17	$1 + O(17^2)$

A split multiplicative example

A more complicated example is given by the curve

```
sage : e= EllipticCurve('817a1'); p=43; show(e)
```

$$y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 + x + 6$$

A split multiplicative example

A more complicated example is given by the curve

```
sage : e= EllipticCurve('817a1'); p=43; show(e)
```

$$y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 + x + 6$$

which has split multiplicative reduction at $p = 43$.

```
sage : eq = e.tate_curve(p); eq.is_split()
```

True

The p -adic regulator is

```
sage : reg = eq.padic_regulator(4);reg
```

$$23 \cdot 43^2 + 41 \cdot 43^3 + 36 \cdot 43^4 + 42 \cdot 43^5 + 26 \cdot 43^6 + O(43^7)$$

The p -adic regulator is

```
sage : reg = eq.padic_regulator(4);reg
      23 · 432 + 41 · 433 + 36 · 434 + 42 · 435 + 26 · 436 +  $O(43^7)$ 
```

The very first non-trivial approximation to the p -adic L -series is

```
sage : lp = e.padic_lseries(p);lps =
      lp.series(2,prec=4);lps
       $O(43^4) + O(43^1) \cdot T + O(43^1) \cdot T^2 + (27 + O(43)) \cdot T^3 + O(T^4)$ 
```

The p -adic regulator is

```
sage : reg = eq.padic_regulator(4); reg
      23 · 432 + 41 · 433 + 36 · 434 + 42 · 435 + 26 · 436 +  $O(43^7)$ 
```

The very first non-trivial approximation to the p -adic L -series is

```
sage : lp = e.padic_lseries(p); lps =
      lp.series(2, prec=4); lps
       $O(43^4) + O(43^1) \cdot T + O(43^1) \cdot T^2 + (27 + O(43)) \cdot T^3 + O(T^4)$ 
```

The L -invariant

```
sage : Li = eq.L_invariant(4); Li
      34 · 43 + 40 · 432 + 30 · 433 +  $O(43^4)$ 
```

We find

```
sage : lpstar=lps(3); R=Qp(p,10);  
lg=log(R(1+p)); tors=e.torsion_order();  
tam=e.tamagawa_product()  
-lpstar/reg*lg^3/Li*tors^2/tam
```

$$1 + O(43)$$

We find

```
sage : lpstar=lps(3); R=Qp(p,10);
lg=log(R(1+p)); tors=e.torsion_order();
tam=e.tamagawa_product()
-lpstar/reg*lg^3/Li*tors^2/tam
```

$$1 + O(43)$$

Hence $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(43)$ of this rank 2 curve is trivial and

We find

```
sage : lpstar=lps(3); R=Qp(p,10);
lg=log(R(1+p)); tors=e.torsion_order();
tam=e.tamagawa_product()
-lpstar/reg*lg^3/Li*tors^2/tam
```

$$1 + O(43)$$

Hence $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(43)$ of this rank 2 curve is trivial and

$$\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \equiv 1 \pmod{43}.$$