Abstract

We explain how to use results from Iwasawa theory to obtain information about $p$-parts of Tate-Shafarevich groups of specific elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$. Our method provides a practical way to compute $\#\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)$ in many cases when traditional $p$-descent methods are completely impractical and also in situations where results of Kolyvagin do not apply, e.g., when the rank of the Mordell-Weil group is greater than 1. (The following is not done yet!) We apply our results along with an explicit calculation to show that $\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})(p) = 0$ for all non-CM elliptic curves $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with conductor $\leq 140,000$, rank $\geq 2$, and good, ordinary primes $p$ with $5 \leq p < 1000$ and surjective mod-$p$ representation.

1 Introduction

The papers [GJP+09, Mil10] describe verification of the full Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for elliptic curves of conductor $\leq 5000$ with rank $\leq 1$ by a computational application of Euler system results of Kato and Kolyvagin combined with explicit descent. The main motivation for the present paper is to develop algorithms using Iwasawa theory, in order to enable verification of the conjecture in new directions, e.g., verification of assertions about $\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})$, when $E$ has rank at least 2. The present paper naturally complements related projects by Perrin-Riou [PR03] and Coates [CLS09]. Moreover, we fill small gaps in the literature (e.g., precision bounds in Section 3) and take the opportunity to correct errors in the literature (e.g., Lemma 4.2) that we found in the course of implementing algorithms.

In Sections 2–7 we recall the main objects and theorems involved in the classical and $p$-adic Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjectures (BSD conjectures), correct some minor errors in the literature, and state a tight error bound that is essential for rigorous computation with $p$-adic $L$-series. These sections gather together disparate results and provide unified notation and fill minor gaps. In Section 3, we define $p$-adic $L$-functions and explain how to compute them.
Next we define the \( p \)-adic regulator, treating separately the cases of split multiplicative and supersingular reduction, and recall \( p \)-adic analogues of the BSD conjecture. In Section 6, we recall the basic definitions and results for the algebraic \( p \)-adic \( L \)-functions defined using Iwasawa theory. This leads to the statement of the main conjecture and Kato’s theorem.

In Section 8 we discuss using \( p \)-adic results to bound \( \text{III}(E)(p) \) when \( E \) has analytic rank 0, and Section 9 covers the case when the analytic rank is 1. In Section 10 we describe a conditional algorithm for computing the rank of elliptic curve that uses \( p \)-adic methods and hence differs in key ways from the standard \( n \)-descent approach. Similarly, Section 11 contains an algorithm that applies to curves of any rank, and either computes \( \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p) \) or explicitly disproves some standard conjecture. In Section 12 we give examples that illustrate the algorithms described above in numerous cases, including verifying for a rank 2 curve \( E \) that \( \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p) = 0 \) for a large number of \( p \), as predicted by the BSD conjecture. In particular, we prove the following theorem via an “enormous” computation of \( p \)-adic regulators and \( p \)-adic \( L \)-functions, which provides evidence for the BSD conjecture for curves of rank at least 2:

**Theorem 1.1** (Not really done yet!!).

Let \( E \) be a non-CM elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{Q} \) with rank at least 2 and conductor \( \leq 140,000 \), and let \( p \) be a good ordinary prime for \( E \) with \( 5 \leq p < 1000 \) such that the mod \( p \) representation is surjective. Then \( \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p) = 0 \).

[Todo: Can I add the following, given the computation I am doing: “In particular, the main conjecture holds at \( p \) for all such pairs \((E,p)\) as above.”]

### 1.1 Background

Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and let

\[
y^2 + a_1 xy + a_3 y = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x + a_6 \quad (1.1.1)
\]

be the global minimal Weierstrass equation for \( E \) with \( a_1, a_3 \in \{0,1\} \) and \( a_2 \in \{-1,0,1\} \). Mordell proved that the set of rational points \( E(\mathbb{Q}) \) is an abelian group of finite rank \( r = \text{rank}(E(\mathbb{Q})) \). Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjectured that \( r = \text{ord}_{s=1} L(E,s) \), where \( L(E,s) \) is the Hasse-Weil \( L \)-function of \( E \) (see Conjecture 2.1 below). We call \( r_{\text{an}} = \text{ord}_{s=1} L(E,s) \) the analytic rank of \( E \), which is defined since \( L(E,s) \) can be analytically continued to all \( \mathbb{C} \) (see [BCDT01]).

There is no known algorithm that has been proved to terminate that computes \( r \) in all cases. We can computationally obtain upper and lower bounds in any particular case. One way to give a lower bound on \( r \) is to search for linearly independent points of small height via the method of descent. We can also use constructions of complex and \( p \)-adic Heegner points in some cases to bound the rank from below. To compute an upper bound on the rank \( r \), in the case of analytic ranks 0 and 1, we can use Kolyvagin’s work on the Euler systems...
of Heegner points; in general, the only known method is to do an \(n\)-descent for some integer \(n > 1\). The 2-descents implemented by Cremona [Cre97], by Simon [Sim02] in Pari [PAR06] (and SAGE [S+11]), and the 2, 3, 4, etc., descents in Magma [BCP97] (see also [CFO+08] and [CFO+06]), are particularly powerful. But they may fail in practice to compute the exact rank due to the presence of 2 or 3-torsion elements in the Tate-Shafarevich group.

The Tate-Shafarevich group \(\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})\) is a torsion abelian group associated to \(E/\mathbb{Q}\). It is the kernel of the localization map

\[
0 \rightarrow \Sha(E/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{ker}(\text{localization map}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_v H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, E),
\]

where the product runs over all places \(v\) in \(\mathbb{Q}\). The arithmetic importance of this group lies in its geometric interpretation. There is a bijection from \(\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})\) to the \(\mathbb{Q}\)-isomorphism classes of principal homogeneous spaces \(C/\mathbb{Q}\) of \(E\) which have points everywhere locally. In particular, such a \(C\) is a curve of genus 1 defined over \(\mathbb{Q}\) whose Jacobian is isomorphic to \(E\). Nontrivial elements in \(\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})\) correspond to curves \(C\) that defy the Hasse principle, i.e., have a point over every completion of \(\mathbb{Q}\), but have no points over \(\mathbb{Q}\).

**Conjecture 1.2. (Shafarevich and Tate)** The group \(\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})\) is finite.

The rank \(r\) and the Tate-Shafarevich group \(\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})\) are encoded in the Selmer groups of \(E\). Fix a prime \(p\), and let \(E(p)\) denote the Gal(\(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}\))-module of all torsion points of \(E\) whose orders are powers of \(p\). The Selmer group \(S_p(E/\mathbb{Q})\) is defined by the following exact sequence:

\[
0 \rightarrow S_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E(p)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_v H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, E),
\]

Likewise, for any positive integer \(m\), the \(m\)-Selmer group is defined by the exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow S^{(m)}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[m]) \rightarrow \bigoplus_v H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, E)
\]

where \(E[m]\) is the subgroup of elements of order dividing \(m\) in \(E\).

It follows from the Kummer sequence that there are short exact sequences

\[
0 \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q})/mE(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow S^{(m)}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})[m] \rightarrow 0
\]

and

\[
0 \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow S_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})(p) \rightarrow 0.
\]

If the Tate-Shafarevich group is finite, then the \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-corank of \(S_p(E/\mathbb{Q})\) is equal to the rank \(r\) of \(E(\mathbb{Q})\).

The finiteness of \(\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})\) is only known for curves of analytic rank 0 and 1, in which case computation of Heegner points and Kolyvagin’s work on Euler systems gives an explicit computable multiple of its order [GJP+09]. The group
$\III(E/\Q)$ is not known to be finite for even a single elliptic curve with $r_{an} \geq 2$.
In such cases, the best we can do using current techniques is hope to bound the $p$-part $\III(E/\Q)(p)$ of $\III(E/\Q)$, for specific primes $p$. Even this might not a priori be possible, since it is not known that $\III(E/\Q)(p)$ is finite. However, if it were the case that $\III(E/\Q)(p)$ is finite (as Conjecture 1.2 asserts), then this could be verified by computing Selmer groups $S^{(p^n)}(E/\Q)$ for sufficiently many $n$ (see, e.g., [SS04]). Note that practical unconditional computation of $S^{(p^n)}(E/\Q)$ is prohibitively difficult for all but a few small $p^n$.

We present in this paper two algorithms using $p$-adic $L$-functions $L_p(E, T)$. They are $p$-adic analogs of the complex function $L(E, s)$ (see Section 3 for the definition). Both algorithms rely heavily on the work of Kato [Kat04], which is considered to be a major breakthrough in the direction of a proof of the $p$-adic version of the BSD conjecture (see Section 5). The possibility of using these results to compute information about the Tate-Shafarevich group is well known to specialists and was for instance mentioned in [Col04b] which gives also a nice overview of the $p$-adic BSD conjecture. For supersingular primes these methods have been used by Perrin-Riou in [PR03] to calculate $\III(E/\Q)(p)$ in many interesting cases when $p$ is a prime of supersingular reduction.

Our first algorithm, which we describe Section 10, finds a provable upper bound for the rank $r$ of $E(\Q)$ by computing approximations to the $p$-adic $L$-series for various small primes $p$. Any upper bound on the vanishing of $L_p(E, T)$ at $T = 0$ is also an upper bound on the rank $r$.

The second algorithm, which we discuss in Section 11, gives a new method for computing bounds on the order of $\III(E/\Q)(p)$, for specific primes $p$. We will exclude $p = 2$, since traditional descent methods work well at $p = 2$, and Iwasawa theory is not as well developed for $p = 2$. We also exclude some primes $p$, e.g., those for which $E$ has additive reduction, since much of the theory we rely on has not yet been developed in this case yet.

Our second algorithm uses again the $p$-adic $L$-functions $L_p(E, T)$, but also requires that the full Mordell-Weil group $E(\Q)$ is known. Its output, if it yields any information, is a proven upper bound on the order of $\III(E/\Q)(p)$; in particular, it will often prove the finiteness of the $p$-primary part of the Tate-Shafarevich group. But it will not in general be able to give any information about the structure of $\III(E/\Q)(p)$ as an abelian group or any information on its elements. For such finer results on the Tate-Shafarevich group, one general method is to use $p^n$-descents as described above. In some cases, we can also use visibility [AS02] to relate $\III(E/\Q)(p)$ to Mordell-Weil groups of other elliptic curves or abelian varieties. Assuming Kolyvagin’s conjecture, it may also be possible to compute the structure of $\III(E/\Q)(p)$, for $E$ of any rank, by making Kolyvagin’s Euler system explicit in some cases (see forthcoming work of the first author and Jared Weinstein that builds on [Kol91a], and the remarks at the end of [Kol91b]). The computability of the upper bound on $\#\III(E/\Q)(p)$ relies on several conjectures, such as the finiteness of $\III(E/\Q)(p)$ and Conjectures 4.1 and 4.4 on the nondegeneracy of the $p$-adic height on $E$. 
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Under the assumption of the main conjecture (see Section 7), the number output by this algorithm equals the order of $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)$. There are several cases when this conjecture is known to hold by Greenberg and Vatsal in [GV00], by Grigorov in [Gri05], and in a forthcoming paper by Skinner and Urban [SU10]. In particular, under appropriate hypothesis, [SU10] prove the main conjecture for elliptic curves with good ordinary reduction, see theorem 7.4. Thus in some cases, the upper bound on $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)$ that we obtain is actually a lower bound too, if all the computations go through, e.g. the $p$-adic height is nondegenerate and we find enough points to verify that the rank is equal to the order of vanishing.

Note that our algorithms can in principle be extended to give bounds in some cases on the rank of $E(K)$ and $\#\text{III}(E/K)(p)$ for number fields $K$ which are abelian extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ (here we still assume $E$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$).
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2 The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. If the BSD conjecture (Conjecture 2.1 below) were true, it would yield an algorithm to compute both the rank $r$ and the order of $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$, and let $L(E,s)$ be the Hasse-Weil $L$-function associated to the $\mathbb{Q}$-isogeny class of $E$. According to [BCDT01] (which completes work initiated in [Wil95]), the function $L(E,s)$ is holomorphic on the whole complex plane. Let $\omega_E$ be the invariant differential $dx/(2y + a_1x + a_3)$ of a minimal Weierstrass equation (1.1.1) of $E$. We write $\Omega_E = \int_{E(\mathbb{R})} \omega_E \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ for the Néron period of $E$.

Conjecture 2.1. (Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer)

1. The order of vanishing of the Hasse-Weil function $L(E,s)$ at $s = 1$ is equal to the rank $r = \text{rank}(E(\mathbb{Q}))$.

2. The leading term $L^*(E,1)$ of the Taylor expansion of $L(E,s)$ at $s = 1$ satisfies

$$\frac{L^*(E,1)}{\Omega_E} = \prod_v \mathcal{c}_v \cdot \frac{\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})}{(\#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}})^2} \cdot \text{Reg}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \quad (2.0.2)$$
where the Tamagawa numbers are denoted by $c_\nu$ and $\text{Reg}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is the regulator of $E$, i.e., the discriminant of the Néron-Tate canonical height pairing on $E(\mathbb{Q})$.

Below we write $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})_{\text{an}}$ for the order of $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ that is predicted by Conjecture 2.1.

Cassels proved in [Cas65] that if Conjecture 2.0.2 is true for an elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, then it is true for all curves that are $\mathbb{Q}$-isogenous to $E$.

**Proposition 2.2 (Manin).** If Conjecture 2.1 is true, then there is an algorithm to compute $r$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$.

**Proof.** Manin proved this result in [Man71, §11], but we recall the essential ideas here. By searching for points in $E(\mathbb{Q})$ we obtain a lower bound on $r$, which gets closer to the true rank $r$ the longer we run the search. At some point this lower bound will equal $r$, but without using further information we have no way to know if that has occurred. As explained, e.g., in [Cre97, Coh07, Dok04], we can for any $k$ compute $L^{(k)}(E,1)$ to any precision. Such computations yield upper bounds on $r_{\text{an}}$. In particular, if we compute $L^{(k)}(E,1)$ and it is nonzero (to the precision of our computation), then $r_{\text{an}} < k$. Eventually this method will also converge to give the correct value of $r_{\text{an}}$, though again without further information we do not know when this will occur. However, if we know Conjecture 2.1, we know that $r = r_{\text{an}}$, hence at some point the lower bound on $r$ computed using point searches will equal the upper bound on $r_{\text{an}}$ computed using the $L$-series. At this point, by Conjecture 2.1 we know the true value of both $r$ and $r_{\text{an}}$.

Once $r$ is known, we can compute $E(\mathbb{Q})$ via a point search (as explained in [Cre97, §3.5] or [Ste07a, §1.2]), hence we can approximate $\text{Reg}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ to any desired precision. All other quantities in (2.0.2) can also be approximated to any desired precision. Solving for $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ in (2.0.2) and computing all other quantities to large enough precision to determine the integer $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})_{\text{an}}$ then determines $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$, as claimed.

The above algorithm would only produce the order of $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ but no information about its structure as an abelian group. We could compute the structure of $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ by computing the group $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ where $n^2 = \#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$, which is possible since $\mathcal{S}^{(m)}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is computable for all $m$. The algorithms in Section 10 and 11 mimic the ideas of the proof of Proposition 2.2, but they replace the complex $L$-function by a $p$-adic $L$-series.

**3 The $p$-adic $L$-function**

We will assume for the rest of this article that $E$ does not admit complex multiplication, though curves with complex multiplication are an area of active interest.
research for these methods (see e.g., [Rub99, PR04, CLS09]).

Formulating a $p$-adic analogue of the BSD conjecture requires a $p$-adic analogue of the analytic function $L(E, s)$, as introduced by Mazur and Swinnerton-Dyer [MSD74, MTT86]. In this section, we recall the definition of this $p$-adic $L$-function, and fill a gap in the literature by giving a complete recipe for how to compute it in all cases, including proven error bounds on each coefficient.

Let $\pi: X_0(N) \to E$ be the modular parametrization and let $c_\pi$ be the Manin constant, i.e., the positive integer satisfying $c_\pi \cdot \pi^* \omega_E = 2\pi i f(\tau) d\tau$ with $f$ the newform associated to $E$. When $E$ is an optimal quotient (so the dual map $E \to \text{Jac}(X_0(N))$ is injective), Manin conjectured that $c_\pi = 1$, and much work has been done toward this conjecture (see [Edi91, ARS06]).

Given a rational number $r$, define

$$\lambda^+(r) = \pi i \cdot \left( \int_{+\infty}^{i \infty} f(\tau) d\tau + \int_{-\infty}^{i \infty} f(\tau) d\tau \right) \in \mathbb{R}.$$ 

There is a basis $\{\gamma_+, \gamma_-\}$ of $H_1(E, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\int_{\gamma_+} \omega_E$ is equal to $\Omega_E$ if $E(\mathbb{R})$ is connected and to $\frac{1}{2} \Omega_E$ otherwise. By a theorem of Manin [Man72], we know that $\lambda^+(r)$ belongs to $\mathbb{Q} \cdot \Omega_E$. For all $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, the modular symbol $[r]^+ \in \mathbb{Q}$ is

$$[r]^+ = \frac{\lambda^+(r)}{\Omega_E}.$$ 

In particular we have $[0]^+ = L(E, 1) \cdot \Omega_E^{-1}$. The quantity $[r]^+$ can be computed algebraically using modular symbols and linear algebra (see [Cre97] and [Ste07b]).

Let $p$ be a prime of semistable reduction. We write\footnote{The context should make it clear if we speak about $a_p$ or $a_2$ and $a_3$ as in (1.1.1).} $a_p$ for the trace of Frobenius. Suppose first that $E$ has good reduction at $p$. Then $N_p = p + 1 - a_p$ is the number of points on $\bar{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Let $X^2 - a_p \cdot X + p$ be the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ be a root of this polynomial such that $\text{ord}_p(\alpha) < 1$. There are two choices if $E$ has supersingular reduction and there is a single possibility for primes where $E$ has good ordinary reduction. Now if $E$ has multiplicative reduction at $p$, then $a_p$ is 1 if it is split multiplicative and $a_p$ is $-1$ if it is nonsplit multiplicative reduction. In either multiplicative case, we take $\alpha = a_p$.

Define a measure on $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ with values in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ by

$$\mu_\alpha(a + p^k \mathbb{Z}_p) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi^{\alpha}} \cdot \left[ \frac{a}{p^k} \right]^+ - \frac{1}{\pi^{\alpha+\tau}} \cdot \left[ \frac{a}{p^{k+1}} \right]^+ & \text{if } E \text{ has good reduction,} \\ \frac{1}{\pi^{\alpha}} \cdot \left[ \frac{a}{p^k} \right]^+ & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

for any $k \geq 1$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Given a continuous character $\chi$ on $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ with values in the completion $\mathbb{C}_p$ of the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we may integrate $\chi$ against $\mu_\alpha$. Any invertible element $x$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ can be written as $\omega(x) \cdot \langle x \rangle$ where $\omega(x)$ is a
(p − 1)-st root of unity (or a 4-th root of unity when p = 2) and \langle x \rangle \) belongs to \(1 + 2p\mathbb{Z}_p\). We call \(\omega\) the Teichmüller character. We define the analytic \(p\)-adic \(L\)-function by

\[ L_\alpha(E, s) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \langle x \rangle^{s-1} d\mu_\alpha(x) \ \text{for all} \ s \in \mathbb{Z}_p. \]

where by \(\langle x \rangle^{s-1}\) we mean \(\exp_p((s - 1) \cdot \log_p(\langle x \rangle))\). The function \(L_\alpha(E, s)\) extends to a locally analytic function in \(s\) on the disc defined by \(|s - 1| < 1\) (see [MTT86, §13]).

Let \(\infty G\) be the Galois group of the cyclotomic extension \(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p\infty})\) obtained by adjoining to \(\mathbb{Q}\) all \(p\)-power roots of unity. By \(\kappa\) we denote the cyclotomic character \(\infty G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times\). Because the cyclotomic character is an isomorphism, choosing a topological generator \(\gamma\) in \(\Gamma = \infty G^{4(p-1)}\) amounts to picking a generator \(\kappa(\gamma)\) in \(1 + 2p\mathbb{Z}_p^\times\). With this choice, we may convert the function \(L_\alpha(E, s)\) into a \(p\)-adic power series in \(T = \kappa(\gamma)^{s-1} - 1\). We write \(L_\alpha(E, T)\) for this series in \(\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)[T]\). We have

\[ L_\alpha(E, T) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \langle x \rangle^{\log(x)} d\mu_\alpha(x). \quad (3.0.3) \]

Recall that \(\omega(a) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times\) is the Teichmüller lift of \(a\). For each integer \(n \geq 1\), define a polynomial

\[ P_n(T) = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{p^{n-1}-1} \mu_E(\omega(a)(1 + p)^j + p^n\mathbb{Z}_p) \cdot (1 + T)^j \right). \]

Proposition 3.1. We have

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} P_n(T) = L_\alpha(E, T), \]

where the convergence is coefficient-by-coefficient, in the sense that if \(P_n(T) = \sum_j a_{n,j}T^j\) and \(L_\alpha(E, T) = \sum_j a_jT^j\), then \(\lim_{n \to \infty} a_{n,j} = a_j\).

We now give a proof of this convergence and in doing so obtain an explicit upper bound for \(|a_j - a_{n,j}|\), which is critical to making the computation of \(L_\alpha(E, T)\) algorithmic, and which appears to not be in the literature.

For any choice \(\zeta_r\) of \(p^r\)-th root of unity in \(\mathbb{C}_p\), let \(\chi_r\) be the \(\mathbb{C}_p\)-valued character of \(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times\) of order \(p^r\) which factors through \(1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p\) and sends \(1 + p\) to \(\zeta_r\). Note that the conductor of \(\chi_r\) is \(p^{r+1}\).

Lemma 3.2. Let \(\zeta_r\) be a \(p^r\)-th root of unity with \(1 \leq r \leq n - 1\), and let \(\chi_r\) be the corresponding character of order \(p^{r+1}\), as above. Then

\[ P_n(\zeta_r - 1) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \chi_r \ d\mu_E. \]

In particular, note that the right hand side does not depend on \(n\).
Proof. Writing $\chi = \chi_r$, we have

$$P_n(\zeta_r - 1) = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p^{n-1}-1} \mu_E (\omega(a)(1 + p)^j + p^nZ_p) \cdot \zeta_r^j$$

$$= \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p^{n-1}-1} \mu_E (\omega(a)(1 + p)^j + p^nZ_p) \cdot \chi ((1 + p)^j)$$

$$= \sum_{b \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times} \mu_E (b + p^nZ_p) \cdot \chi(b) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \chi \ d\mu_E.$$

In the second to the last equality, we use that

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (1 + p(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}))^\times$$

to sum over lifts of $b \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ of the form $\omega(a)(1 + p)^j$, i.e., a Teichmüller lift times a power of $(1 + p)^j$. In the last equality, we use that $\chi$ has conductor $p^n$, so is constant on the residue classes modulo $p^n$, and use the Riemann sums definition of the given integral.

For each positive integer $n$, let $w_n(T) = (1 + T)^{p^n} - 1$.

Corollary 3.3. We have that

$$w_{n-1}(T) \text{ divides } P_{n+1}(T) - P_n(T).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, $P_{n+1}(T)$ and $P_n(T)$ agree on $\zeta_j - 1$ for $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and any choice $\zeta_j$ of $p^j$-th root of unity, so their difference vanishes on every root of the polynomial $w_{n-1}(T) = (1 + T)^{p^{n-1}} - 1$. The claimed divisibility follows, since $w_{n-1}(T)$ has distinct roots.

Lemma 3.4. Let $f(T) = \sum b_i T^i$ and $g(T) = \sum c_j T^j$ be in $\mathcal{O}[T]$ with $\mathcal{O}$ the ring of integers of a finite field extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. If $f(T)$ divides $g(T)$, then

$$\text{ord}_p(c_j) \geq \min_{0 \leq i \leq j} \text{ord}_p(b_i).$$

Proof. We have $f(T)k(T) = g(T)$. The lemma follows by using the definition of polynomial multiplication and the nonarchimedean property of $\text{ord}_p$ on each coefficient of $g(T)$.

As above, let $a_{n,j}$ be the $j$-th coefficient of the polynomial $P_n(T)$. Let

$$c_n = \max(0, -\min_j \text{ord}_p(a_{n,j}))$$
so that $p^cP_n(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T]$, i.e., $c_n$ is the smallest power of $p$ that clears the denominator. For any $j > 0$, let

$$
e_{n,j} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq j} \text{ord}_p \left( \frac{p^n}{i} \right).$$

be the min of the valuations of the coefficients of $w_n(T)$, as in Lemma 3.4.

**Proposition 3.5.** For all $n \geq 0$, we have $a_{n+1,0} = a_{n,0}$, and for $j > 0$,

$$\text{ord}_p(a_{n+1,j} - a_{n,j}) \geq e_{n-1,j} - \max(c_n, c_{n+1}).$$

**Proof.** Let $c = \max(c_n, c_{n+1})$. The divisibility of Corollary 3.3 implies that there is a polynomial $h(T) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[T]$ with

$$w_{n-1}(T) \cdot p^c h(T) = \frac{p^n}{P_{n+1}(T) - p^n P_n(T)}$$

and thus (by Gauss’ lemma) $p^c h(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T]$ since the right hand side of the equation is integral and $w_{n-1}(T)$ is a primitive polynomial. Applying Lemma 3.4 and renormalizing by $p^c$ gives the result.

For $j$ fixed, $e_{n-1,j} - \max(c_{n+1}, c_n)$ goes to infinity as $n$ grows since the $c_k$ are uniformly bounded above (they are bounded in terms of the power of $p$ that divides the order of the cuspidal subgroup of $E$). Thus, $\{a_{n,j}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and Proposition 3.5 implies that that

$$\text{ord}_p(a_j - a_{n,j}) \geq e_{n-1,j} - \max(c_{n+1}, c_n).$$

### 3.1 The $p$-adic multiplier

In this section we specialize the definition of $p$-adic multiplier from [MTT86, §14] to the case of an elliptic curve. For a prime of good reduction, we define the $p$-adic multiplier by

$$\epsilon_p = (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})^2. \quad (3.1.1)$$

Note that $\text{ord}_p(\epsilon_p)$ is equal to 2 $\text{ord}_p(N_p)$ where $N_p = p + 1 - a_p$ is the number of points in the reduction $\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ at $p$.

For a prime of bad multiplicative reduction, we put

$$\epsilon_p = 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \text{ is split multiplicative}, \\ 2 & \text{if } p \text{ is nonsplit}. \end{cases}$$
3.2 Interpolation property

The $p$-adic $L$-function constructed above satisfies an interpolation property with respect to the complex $L$-function. For instance, we have that

$$L_\alpha(E, 0) = L_\alpha(E, 1) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} d\mu_\alpha = \epsilon_p \cdot \frac{L(E, 1)}{\Omega_E}.$$ 

A similar formula holds when integrating nontrivial characters of $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ against $d\mu_\alpha$. If $\chi$ is the character on $\infty G$ sending $\gamma$ to a root of unity $\zeta$ of exact order $p^n$, then

$$L_\alpha(E, \zeta^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\alpha^{n+1}} \cdot \frac{p^{n+1}}{G(\chi^{-1})} \cdot \frac{L(E, \chi^{-1}, 1)}{\Omega_E}.$$ 

Here $G(\chi^{-1})$ is the Gauss sum and $L(E, \chi^{-1}, 1)$ is the Hasse-Weil $L$-function of $E$ twisted by $\chi^{-1}$.

3.3 The good ordinary case

Suppose that the reduction of the elliptic curve at the prime $p$ is good and ordinary, so $a_p$ is not divisible by $p$. As mentioned before, in this case there is a unique choice of root $\alpha$ of the characteristic polynomial $x^2 - a_p x + p$ that satisfies $\operatorname{ord}_p(\alpha) < 1$. Since $\alpha$ is an algebraic integer, this implies that $\operatorname{ord}_p(\alpha) = 0$, so $\alpha$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. We get therefore a unique $p$-adic $L$-function that we will denote simply by $L_p(E, T) = L_\alpha(E, T)$.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let $E$ be an elliptic curve with good ordinary reduction at a prime $p > 2$ such that $E[\mathbb{F}_p]$ is irreducible. Then the series $L_p(E, T)$ belongs to $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]$.

**Proof.** See [GV00, Prop. 3.7] with $\chi = 1$. 

We next illustrate the above material with a few numerical examples, one for each type of reduction. Let $E_0/\mathbb{Q}$ be the curve

$$E_0: \quad y^2 + x y = x^3 - x^2 - 4 x + 4 \quad (3.3.1)$$

which is labeled 446d1 in Cremona’s tables [Cre]. The Mordell-Weil group $E_0(\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$ generated by the points $(2, 0)$ and $(1, -1)$. We consider the prime $p = 5$ where $E_0$ has good and ordinary reduction. As the number of points $N_p = 10$ is divisible by $p$, this is an anomalous prime in the terminology of [Maz72]. Using [S+11], we compute an approximation to the
\( p \)-adic \( L \)-series as explained above with \( n = 5 \) to find

\[
L_5(E_0, T) = O(5^4) \cdot T + (5 + 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^2 \\
+ (2 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^3 \\
+ (4 \cdot 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^4 \\
+ (4 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + O(5^3)) \cdot T^5 \\
+ (1 + 2 \cdot 5 + 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^6 + O(T^7).
\]

Note that we claim here directly that the order of vanishing is at least to 1. This follows from the interpolation formula that \( L_5(E_0, 0) = 0 \) as \( [0]^+ = 0 \). We will give an explanation for the vanishing of the term in \( T^1 \) later.\[\text{Todo: Where? I couldn’t find this.}\]

We remark that the term in \( T^2 \) has valuation 1, but the coefficient of \( T^6 \) is a unit.

### 3.4 Multiplicative case

We separate the case of split from the case of nonsplit multiplicative reduction. In fact if the reduction is nonsplit, then the description of the good ordinary case applies just the same. But if the reduction is split multiplicative (the “exceptional case” in [MTT86]), then the \( p \)-adic \( L \)-series must have a trivial zero, i.e., \( L_p(E, 0) = 0 \) because \( \epsilon_p = 0 \). By a result of Greenberg and Stevens [GS93] (see also [Kob06] for a proof using Kato’s Euler system), we know that

\[
\frac{d}{dT} \left|_{T=0} \right. \frac{L_p(E, T)}{\Omega_E} = \frac{1}{\log_p \kappa(\gamma)} \cdot \frac{\log_p(q_E)}{\operatorname{ord}_p(q_E)} \cdot \frac{L(E, 1)}{\Omega_E}
\]

where \( q_E \) denotes the Tate period of \( E \) over \( \mathbb{Q}_p \). It is now known thanks to [BSDGP96] that \( \log_p(q_E) \) is nonzero. Hence we define the \( p \)-adic \( Z \)-invariant as

\[
Z_p = \frac{\log_p(q_E)}{\operatorname{ord}_p(q_E)} \neq 0. \quad (3.4.1)
\]

We refer to [Col04a] for a detailed discussion of the different \( Z \)-invariants and their connections.

### 3.5 The supersingular case

In the supersingular case, that is when \( a_p \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \), we have two roots \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) both of valuation \( \frac{1}{2} \). An analysis of the functions \( L_\alpha \) and \( L_\beta \) is in [Pol03]. The series \( L_\alpha(E, T) \) will not have integral coefficients in \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha) \). Nevertheless we can still extract two integral series \( L_p^\pm(E, T) \). We will not need this description.

There is a way of rewriting the \( p \)-adic \( L \)-series which relates more easily to the \( p \)-adic height defined in the next section. We follow Perrin-Riou’s description in [PR03].
As before, $\omega_E$ denotes the chosen invariant differential on $E$. Let $\eta_E = x \cdot \omega_E$. The pair $\{\omega_E, \eta_E\}$ forms a basis of the Dieudonné module

$$D_p(E) = \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes H^1_{\text{dR}}(E/\mathbb{Q}).$$

This $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space comes equipped with a canonical Frobenius endomorphism $\varphi$ that acts on it linearly. We normalize it in the following way, which makes it equal to $\frac{1}{p} \cdot F$ with $F$ being the Frobenius as used in [MST06] or in [Ked01, Ked03, Ked04]. Let $t$ be any uniformizer at the point $O_E$ at infinity on $E$, e.g., take $t = -\frac{2}{3}$. Let $\nu$ be a class in $D_p(E)$ represented by the differential $\sum c_n \cdot t^{n-1} dt$ with $c_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then $\varphi(\nu)$ can be represented by the differential $\sum c_n \cdot t^{pn-1} dt$. In particular $\varphi(dt) = t^{p-1} dt$. The characteristic polynomial of $\varphi$ is equal to $X^2 - p^{-1} a_p X + p^{-1}$.

Write $L_\alpha(E, T)$ as $G(T) + \alpha \cdot H(T)$ with $G(T)$ and $H(T)$ in $\mathbb{Q}_p[T]$. Then we define

$$L_p(E, T) = G(T) \cdot \omega_E + a_p \cdot H(T) \cdot \omega_E - p \cdot H(T) \cdot \varphi(\omega_E),$$

which we view as a formal power series with coefficients in $D_p(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p[T]$, which contains exactly the same information as $L_\alpha(E, T)$. See [PR03, §1] for a direct definition. Since the invariant differential $\omega_E$ depends on the choice of the Weierstrass equation (1.1.1), the expression $L_p(E, T)$ is also dependent on this choice. However, if we write the series in the basis $\{\omega_E, \varphi(\omega_E)\}$ rather than in $\{\omega_E, \eta_E\}$, then the coordinates as above are independent. The $D_p$-valued $L$-series satisfies again certain interpolation properties,\(^2\) e.g.,

$$(1 - \varphi)^{-2} L_p(E, 0) = \frac{L(E, 1)}{\Omega_E} \cdot \omega_E \in D_p(E).$$

See Section 12.2 for an example.

### 3.6 Additive case

The case of additive reduction is much harder to treat, though we are optimistic that such a treatment is possible. We have not tried to include the possibility of additive reduction in our algorithm, especially because the existence of the $p$-adic $L$-function is not yet guaranteed in general. Note that there are two interesting papers [Del98] and [Del02] of Delbourgo on this subject.

### 3.7 Quadratic twists

When the curve $E$ is not semistable, we can try to use the modular symbols of a quadratic twist $E^\dagger$ of $E$ in the computation of the $p$-adic $L$-function for $E$.

---

\(^2\)Perrin-Riou writes in [PR03] the multiplier as $(1 - \varphi)^{-1} \cdot (1 - p^{-1} \varphi^{-1})$ and she multiplies the right hand side with $L(E/\mathbb{Q}_p, 1)^{-1} = N_p \cdot p^{-1}$. It is easy to see that $(1 - \varphi) \cdot (1 - p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}) = 1 - \varphi + (\varphi - a_p \cdot p^{-1}) + p^{-1} = N_p \cdot p^{-1}$.
This leads to dramatic speedups when the quadratic twist has lower conductor than $E$.

Suppose that there exists a fundamental discriminant $D$ of a quadratic field satisfying the following conditions:

- $p$ does not divide $D$,
- $D^2$ divides $N$,
- $M = N/D^2$ is coprime to $D$, and
- the conductor $N^\dagger$ of the quadratic twist $E^\dagger$ of $E$ by $D$ is of the form $M \cdot Q$ with $Q$ dividing $D$.

Then $ψ = (D)$ is the Dirichlet character associated to the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ over which $E$ and $E^\dagger$ become isomorphic. Let $f^\dagger_E$ be the newform of level $N^\dagger$ associated to the isogeny class of $E^\dagger$. As explained in [MTT86, §II.11], the twist of $f^\dagger_E$ by $ψ$ is equal to $f_E$ and we can use their formula (I.8.3)

$$f_E(τ) = \frac{1}{G(ψ)} \sum_{u \mod |D|} ψ(u) \cdot f^\dagger_E(τ + \frac{u}{|D|}).$$

(3.7.1)

Here $G(ψ)$ is as before the Gauss sum of $ψ$, whose value we know to be the square root $\sqrt{D}$ of $D$ in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ or in $i \cdot \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Let $c_\mathbb{R}$ be the number of connected components of $E(\mathbb{R})$, which is also the number of connected components of $E^\dagger(\mathbb{R})$. We write $Ω^+_E$ for $c_\mathbb{R} \cdot \int_{γ^-} \omega_E$, similar to $Ω^+_E = Ω^+_{E^\dagger} = c_\mathbb{R} \cdot \int_{γ^+} \omega_E$ with the notations from (3.0.3). We also put

$$λ^−(r) = \pi i \cdot \left( \int_{r}^{i∞} - \int_{-i∞}^{r} \right) f(τ) dτ$$

and $[r]^− = λ^−(r)/Ω^−_E$. As for the modular symbol $[r]^+$, we have $[r]^− ∈ \mathbb{Q}$. Following [MTT86], we define the quantity $η$ such that

$$\sqrt{D} \cdot Ω^+_E = η \cdot Ω^+_{E^\dagger}(D).$$

It is known that $η$ is either 1 or 2.

Now we can compute the modular symbol $[r]^+$ for the curve $E$ in terms of
modular symbols for $E^\dagger$. Suppose first that $D > 0$.

$$
\lambda^+_E(r) = \pi i \cdot \left( \int_r^{i \infty} + \int_{-r}^{i \infty} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \sum_{u=1}^{D-1} \psi(u) f^\dagger_E(\tau + \frac{u}{D}) d\tau
$$

$$
= \pi i \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \sum_{u=1}^{D-1} \psi(u) \int_{r+u/D}^{i \infty} f^\dagger_E(\tau) d\tau
$$

$$
+ \frac{\pi i}{\sqrt{D}} \sum_{v=1}^{D-1} \psi(D-v) \int_{-r}^{i \infty} f^\dagger_E(\tau + 1 - \frac{v}{D}) d\tau
$$

$$
= \pi i \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \sum_{u=1}^{D-1} \psi(u) \left( \int_{r+u/D}^{i \infty} + \int_{-r-u/D}^{i \infty} \right) f^\dagger_E(\tau) d\tau
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \sum_{u=1}^{D-1} \psi(u) \lambda^+_E \left( r + \frac{u}{D} \right).
$$

We used that $\psi(u) = \text{sign}(D) \psi(D-u)$, that $f^\dagger_E(\tau + 1) = f^\dagger_E(\tau)$ and Equation (3.7.1). Similarly for $D < 0$, we find

$$
\lambda^+_E(r) = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{|D|}} \sum_{u=1}^{|D|-1} \psi(u) \lambda^+_E \left( r + \frac{u}{D} \right).
$$

Therefore, we have for any fundamental discriminant $D$

$$
[r]_E^+ = \frac{\text{sign}(D)}{\eta} \sum_{u=1}^{|D|-1} \left( \frac{D}{u} \right) \cdot \left[ r + \frac{u}{D} \right] \lambda^+_E \left( \frac{u}{D} \right).
$$

We can also express the unit eigenvalue $\alpha$ of Frobenius in terms of the corresponding $\alpha^\dagger$ unit eigenvalue for $E^\dagger$ as

$$
\alpha = \psi(p) \cdot \alpha^\dagger.
$$

In summary, we can evaluate the approximations to the $p$-adic $L$-function of $E$ using only modular symbols of the curve $E^\dagger$ with smaller conductor. The estimations for the error of these approximations remain exactly the same.

We recalled that the computation of the modular symbols $[r]^\pm$ can be done purely algebraically. Unfortunately, the algebraic computation determines them only up to one single fixed choice of sign. If $[0]^+$ is nonzero, we can simply compare the value of the modular symbol at 0 with $L(E,1)/\Omega_E$ and adjust the sign when needed. If $L(E,1) = 0$, we can use the above formula to compute $[0]^+_E$ for some quadratic twist $E^\dagger$ with nonvanishing $L$-value. So we can easily adjust the unknown sign. Also, if we only know the modular symbols up to a rational multiple, we can use these formulae to scale them.

We should also add here that we can not possibly do a similar thing with quartic or sextic twists when they exist. This is due to the fact that the
extension over which the twists become isomorphic is no longer an abelian extension. So we would have to twist the modular symbols with a Galois representation of dimension at least 2. Nevertheless there is a way of using these twists for computing the $p$-adic $L$-function as explained in [CLS09], using the fact that these curves have complex multiplication.

4 $p$-adic heights

The second term that we will generalize in the BSD formula is the real-valued regulator. In $p$-adic analogues of the conjecture we replace it by a $p$-adic regulator, which we define using a $p$-adic analogue of the height pairing. We follow here the generalized version [BPR93] and [PR03].

Let $\nu$ be an element of the Dieudonné module $D_{\nu}(E)$ (see Section 3.5). We will define a $p$-adic height function $h_{\nu}: E(\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}_p$ which depends linearly on the vector $\nu$. Hence it is sufficient to define it on the basis $\omega = \omega_E$ and $\eta = \eta_E$.

If $\nu = \omega$, then we define

$$h_\omega(P) = \log_E(P)^2$$

where $\log_E$ is the linear extension of the $p$-adic elliptic logarithm

$$\log_E: \hat{E}(p\mathbb{Z}_p) \to p\mathbb{Z}_p$$

defined on the formal group $\hat{E}$, by integrating our fixed differential $\omega_E$.

For $\nu = \eta$, we define the $p$-adic sigma function of Bernardi as in [Ber81] to be the solution $\sigma$ of the differential equation

$$-x = \frac{d}{\omega_E} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \cdot \frac{d\sigma}{\omega_E} \right)$$

such that $\sigma(O_E) = 0$, $\frac{d\sigma}{\omega_E}(O_E) = 1$, and $\sigma(-P) = -\sigma(P)$. If we denote by $t = -\frac{x}{y}$ the uniformizer at $O_E$, we may develop the sigma function as a series in $t$:

$$\sigma(t) = t + \frac{a_1}{2} t^2 + \frac{a_2}{3} t^3 + \frac{a_3}{4} t^4 + \cdots \in \mathbb{Q}(t),$$

where the $a_i$ are the coeff of Weierstrass equation (1.1.1). As a function on the formal group $\hat{E}(p\mathbb{Z}_p)$, it converges for all $t$ with $\ord_p(t) > \frac{1}{p-1}$.

We say that a point $P$ in $E(\mathbb{Q})$ has good reduction at a prime $p$ if $P$ reduces to the identity component of the special fiber of the Néron model of $E$ at $p$. Given a point $P$ in $E(\mathbb{Q})$ there exists a multiple $m \cdot P$ such that $\sigma(m \cdot P)$ converges and such that $m \cdot P$ has good reduction at all primes. Denote by $e(m \cdot P) \in \mathbb{Z}$ the square root of the denominator of the $x$-coordinate of $m \cdot P$.

Define

$$h_{\eta}(P) = \frac{2}{m^2} \cdot \log_p \left( \frac{e(m \cdot P)}{\sigma(m \cdot P)} \right).$$
Bernardi [Ber81] proves that this function is quadratic and satisfies the parallelogram law.

Finally, if \( \nu = a \omega + b \eta \) then put

\[
h_{\nu}(P) = a h_\omega(P) + b h_\eta(P).
\]

Since this function is quadratic and satisfies the parallelogram law, it induces a bilinear symmetric pairing \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\nu \) with values in \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) defined by

\[
\langle P, Q \rangle_\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left( h_\nu(P + Q) - h_\nu(P) - h_\nu(Q) \right).
\]

Note that all these definitions are dependent on the choice of the Weierstrass equation. It is easy to verify that the pairing is zero if one of the points is a torsion point.

### 4.1 The good ordinary case

Since we have only a single \( p \)-adic \( L \)-function in the case that the reduction is good ordinary, we have also to pin down a canonical choice of a \( p \)-adic height function. This was first done by Schneider [Sch82] and Perrin-Riou [PR82]. We refer to [MT91] and [MST06] for more details.

Let \( \nu_\alpha = a \omega + b \eta \) be an eigenvector of \( \varphi \) on \( D_p(E) \) associated to the eigenvalue \( \frac{1}{2} \). The value \( e_2 = E_2(E, \omega_E) = -12 \cdot \frac{a}{b} \) is the value of the Katz \( p \)-adic Eisenstein series of weight 2 at \( (E, \omega_E) \). If a point \( P \) has good reduction at all primes and lies in the range of convergence of \( \sigma(t) \), we define the canonical \( p \)-adic height of \( P \) to be

\[
\hat{h}_p(P) = \frac{1}{b} h_{\nu_\alpha}(P)
= - \frac{a}{b} \log e(P)^2 + 2 \log \left( \frac{e(P)}{\sigma(P)} \right)
= 2 \log_p \left( \frac{e(P)}{\exp \left( \frac{24}{24} \log e(P)^2 \cdot \sigma(P) \right)} \right) = 2 \log_p \left( \frac{e(P)}{\sigma_p(P)} \right).
\]

The function \( \sigma_p \), defined by the last line, is called the canonical sigma-function, see [MT91]; it is known to lie in \( \mathbb{Z}_p[t] \). The \( p \)-adic height defined here is up to a factor of 2 the same as in [MST06].\(^\text{3}\) It is also important to note that the function \( \hat{h}_p \) is independent of the Weierstrass equation.

We write \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p \) for the canonical \( p \)-adic height pairing on \( E(\mathbb{Q}) \) associated to \( \hat{h}_p \), and \( \text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) \) for the discriminant of the height pairing on \( E(\mathbb{Q})/E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} \).

**Conjecture 4.1. (Schneider [Sch82])** The canonical \( p \)-adic height is non-degenerate on \( E(\mathbb{Q})/E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} \). In other words, the canonical \( p \)-adic regulator \( \text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) \) is nonzero.

\(^3\)This factor is needed if we do not want to modify the \( p \)-adic version of the BSD conjecture (Conjecture 5.1).
Apart from the special case treated in [Ber82] of curves with complex multiplication of rank 1, there are hardly any results on this conjecture. See also [Wut04].

We return to our running example curve $E_0$ from Section 3.3. The methods of [MST06, Har08] permit us to quickly compute to relatively high precision the $p$-adic regulator of $E_0$. We have

$$E_2(E_0, \omega_E) = 3 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + 5^3 + 5^4 + 5^5 + 2 \cdot 5^6 + 4 \cdot 5^7 + 3 \cdot 5^9 + O(5^{10}),$$

and the regulator associated to the canonical $p$-adic height is

$$\text{Reg}_p(E_0/\mathbb{Q}) = 2 \cdot 5 + 2 \cdot 5^2 + 5^3 + 4 \cdot 5^5 + 2 \cdot 5^7 + 4 \cdot 5^8 + 2 \cdot 5^9 + O(5^{10}).$$

### 4.2 The multiplicative case

When $E$ has multiplicative reduction at $p$, we may use Tate’s $p$-adic uniformization (see for instance in [Sil94, Ch. V]). We have an explicit description of the height pairing in [Sch82]. If we want to have the same closed formula in the $p$-adic version of the BSD conjecture for multiplicative primes as for other ordinary primes, the $p$-adic height has to be changed slightly. We use here the description of the $p$-adic regulator given in [MTT86, §II.6]. Alas, their formula is not correct, as explained in [Wer98], so we use the corrected version.

Let $q_E$ be the Tate parameter of the elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, so we have an analytic homomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ whose kernel is precisely $q_E^\mathbb{Z}_E$. The image of $\mathbb{Z}_E^\times$ under $\psi$ is equal to the subgroup of points of $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ lying on the connected component of the reduction modulo $p$ of the Néron model of $E$. Let $C$ be the constant such that $\psi^*(\omega_E) = C \cdot \frac{du}{u}$ where $u$ is a uniformizer of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ at 1. The value of the $p$-adic Eisenstein series of weight 2 is

$$e_2 = E_2(E, \omega_E) = C^2 \cdot \left(1 - 24 \cdot \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{d \mid n} d \cdot q_n^2 \right).$$

Then we use the formula as in the good ordinary case to define the canonical sigma function $\sigma_p(t(P)) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \log_\psi(P)^2 \cdot \sigma(t(P))\right)$. We could also have used directly the formula

$$\sigma_p(u) = \frac{u - 1}{u^{1/2}} \cdot \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1 - q_n^0 \cdot u)(1 - q_n^0/u)}{(1 - q_n^{1/2})^2}$$

where $u \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$ is the unique preimage of $P \in \hat{E}(p\mathbb{Z}_p)$ under the Tate parametrization $\psi$, where $\hat{E}$ is the formal group of $E$ at $p$.

If the reduction is nonsplit multiplicative, then we use the same formula (4.1.1) to define the $p$-adic height as for the good ordinary case.

Suppose that the reduction is split multiplicative. Let $P$ be a point in $E(\mathbb{Q})$ having good reduction at all finite places and with trivial reduction at $p$. Then
we define
\[
\hat{h}_p(P) = 2 \log_p \left( \frac{e(P)}{\sigma_p(t(P))} \right) - \frac{\log_p(u)^2}{\log_p(q_p)}
\]
with \(u\) as above. The \(p\)-adic regulator is formed as before but with this modified \(p\)-adic height \(\hat{h}_p\).

4.3 The supersingular case

In the supersingular case, we do not find a canonical \(p\)-adic height with values in \(\mathbb{Q}_p\). Instead, the height has values in the Dieudonné module \(D_p(E)\), as explained in [BPR93] and [PR03].

First, if the rank of the curve is 0, we define the \(p\)-adic regulator of \(E/\mathbb{Q}\) to be \(\omega = \omega_E \in D_p(E)\). Thus assume for the rest of this section that the rank \(r\) of \(E(\mathbb{Q})\) is positive. Let \(\nu = a \omega + b \eta\) be any element of \(D_p(E)\) not lying in \(\mathbb{Q}_p \omega\), (so \(b \neq 0\)). It can be easily checked that the value of
\[
H_p(P) = \frac{1}{b} \cdot (h_\nu(P) \cdot \omega - h_\omega(P) \cdot \nu) \in D_p(E)
\]
is independent of the choice of \(\nu\). We will call this the \(D_p\)-valued height on \(E(\mathbb{Q})\). But note that it depends on the choice of the Weierstrass equation of \(E\): if we change coordinates by putting
\[
x' = u^2 \cdot x + r \quad \text{and} \quad y' = u^3 \cdot y + s \cdot x + t,
\]
then the \(D_p\)-valued height \(H'_p(P)\) computed in the new coordinates \(x', y'\) will satisfy \(H'_p(P) = \frac{1}{u} \cdot H_p(P)\) for all points \(P \in E(\mathbb{Q})\).

On \(D_p(E)\) there is a canonical alternating bilinear form \([\cdot, \cdot]\) characterized by the property that \([\omega_E, \eta_E] = 1\). Write \(\text{Reg}_\nu \in \mathbb{Q}_p\) for the regulator of \(h_\nu\) on \(E(\mathbb{Q})/E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}\). Then we have the following lemma which is a corrected version\(^4\) of [PR03, Lem. 2.6].

**Lemma 4.2.** Suppose that the rank \(r\) of \(E(\mathbb{Q})\) is positive. There exists a unique element \(\text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q})\) in \(D_p(E)\) such that for all \(\nu \in D_p(E)\) not in \(\mathbb{Q}_p \omega\), we have
\[
[\text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}), \nu] = \frac{\text{Reg}_\nu}{[\omega, \nu]^{r-1}}. \tag{4.3.2}
\]

Furthermore, if the rank \(r\) is 1, then \(\text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) = H_p(P)\) for a generator \(P\). If the Weierstrass equation is changed as in (4.3.1), the regulator \(\text{Reg}'_p(E/\mathbb{Q})\) computed in the new equation satisfies \(\text{Reg}'_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \frac{1}{u} \cdot \text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q})\).

We call \(\text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q})\) the \(D_p\)-valued regulator of \(E/\mathbb{Q}\), or better, of the chosen Weierstrass equation.

---

\(^4\)The wrong normalization in [PR03] only influences the computations for curves of rank greater than 1. It seems that, by chance, the computations in [PR03] were done with a \(\nu\) in \(D_p(E)\) such that \([\omega, \nu] = 1\), so that the normalization did not enter into the end results.
Proof. Since \( h_\omega \) is made out of the square of the linear function \( \log E \), the matrix of the associated pairing on a basis \( \{P_i\} \) of \( E(\mathbb{Q}) \) modulo torsion has entries of the form \( \log E(P_i) \cdot \log E(P_j) \) and hence has rank 1. Therefore the regulator of the pairing associated to \( \nu = a \cdot \omega + b \cdot \eta \) is equal to
\[
\text{Reg}_{a \omega + b \eta} = a \cdot b^{r-1} \cdot X + b^r \cdot Y
\]
for some constants \( X \) and \( Y \). In fact, we must have \( X = \text{Reg}_{\omega + \eta} - \text{Reg}_\eta \) and \( Y = \text{Reg}_\eta \). Therefore the expression on the right hand side of (4.3.2) is linear in \( \nu \). More explicitly, we may define
\[
\text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) = Y \cdot \omega - X \cdot \eta.
\]
The formula for the case of rank 1 is then also immediate. The variance of the regulator with the change of the equation can be checked just as for \( H_p \).

We continue to assume that the rank \( r \) of \( E/\mathbb{Q} \) is positive, as in Lemma 4.2. Define the fine Mordell-Weil group as in \cite{Wut1} to be the kernel
\[
\mathfrak{M}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \ker (E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}_p)^p \text{-adic completion}),
\]
which is a free \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module of rank \( r - 1 \). The bilinear form associated to the normalized \( p \)-adic height
\[
\frac{h_\nu(P)}{[\omega, \nu]},
\]
can be restricted to obtain a pairing
\[
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0 : \mathfrak{M}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \times (E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p.
\]
It is then independent of the choice of \( \nu \not\in \mathbb{Q}_p \omega \). We call the regulator of this bilinear form \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0 \) on a basis of \( \mathfrak{M}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \) the fine regulator \( \text{Reg}_0(E/\mathbb{Q}) \in \mathbb{Q}_p \), which is an element of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) defined up to multiplication by a unit in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \).

Lemma 4.3. Let \( Q \) be a point in \( E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \) such that \( \mathfrak{M}(E/\mathbb{Q}) + \mathbb{Z}_p Q = E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \). Then
\[
[\text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}_p), \omega] \equiv \log_p(Q)^2 \cdot \text{Reg}_0(E/\mathbb{Q}) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}_p^*}.
\]

Proof. From the proof of the previous lemma, we only have to show that \( X = \text{Reg}_{\omega + \eta} - \text{Reg}_\eta \equiv h_\omega(Q) \text{Reg}_0(E/\mathbb{Q}) \). We choose a basis of \( \mathfrak{M}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \) and complete it to a basis of \( E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \) by adding \( Q \) to it. If \( M \) is the matrix of the pairing for \( \eta \) in this basis, then the matrix for \( \omega + \eta \) is obtained by changing the entry for \( \langle Q, Q \rangle \) by adding \( h_\omega(Q) \) to it. Since \( X \) is the difference of the two determinants, it is \( h_\omega(Q) \) times the determinant of \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0 \) on the basis of \( \mathfrak{M}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \), which equals \( \text{Reg}_0(E/\mathbb{Q}) \) by definition. \qed
This lemma proves the last equality in section 2 of [PR03]. We should mention that the formula just above it, linking \( \text{Reg}_p(E/Q) \) to \( H_p(Q) \cdot \text{Reg}_0(E/Q) \), is not known to hold as it can not be assumed in general that we can find a point \( Q \) as in the lemma above which is orthogonal to \( \mathfrak{M}(E/Q) \).

In particular, the \( D_p \)-valued regulator \( \text{Reg}_p(E/Q) \) is non-zero provided the fine regulator does not vanishes, because \( \log_p(Q) \neq 0 \).

**Conjecture 4.4. (Perrin-Riou [PR93, Conjecture 3.3.7.i])** The fine regulator of \( E/Q \) is nonzero for all primes \( p \). In particular, \( \text{Reg}_p(E/Q) \neq 0 \) for all primes where \( E \) has supersingular reduction.

The conjecture 3.3.7.ii') in [PR93], stating that \( \text{Reg}_\nu \) is non-zero for at least one \( \nu \), is implied by the above conjecture. This is explained in the remark iii) following the conjecture there, if we use the fact that the weak Leopoldt conjecture is now known for \( E \) and \( p \).

We have presented here how to compute the \( p \)-adic regulator in the basis \( \{\omega, \eta\} \), but in order to compare it later to the leading term of the \( p \)-adic \( L \)-function, it is better to write it in terms of the basis \( \{\omega, \varphi(\omega)\} \). In particular, we would then have a vector whose coordinates are independent of the chosen Weierstrass equation.

In [BPR93, pg. 232], there is an algorithm for computing the action of \( \varphi \) by successive approximation using the expansion of \( \omega \) in terms of a uniformizer \( t \). It is much more efficient to replace this by the computation of \( \varphi \) using Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology as explained in [Ked01, Ked03, Ked04, Har08].

### 4.4 Normalization

In view of Iwasawa theory, it is natural to normalize the heights and the regulators depending on the choice of the generator \( \gamma \). In this way the heights depend on the choice of an isomorphism \( \Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}_p \) rather than on the \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension only. This normalization can be achieved by simply dividing \( \hat{h}_p(P) \) and \( h_\nu(P) \) by \( \kappa(\gamma) \). The regulators will be divided by \( \log_p \kappa(\gamma)^r \) where \( r \) is the rank of \( E(Q) \). Hence we write

\[
\text{Reg}_\gamma(E/Q) = \frac{\text{Reg}_p(E/Q)}{\log(\kappa(\gamma))^r}.
\]

### 5 The \( p \)-adic Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture

#### 5.1 The ordinary case

The following conjecture is due to Mazur, Tate and Teitelbaum [MTT86]. Rather than formulating it for the function \( L_\alpha(E,s) \), we state it directly for
the series $L_p(E,T)$. It is then a statement about the expansion of this function at $T = 0$ rather than at $s = 1$.

**Conjecture 5.1. (Mazur, Tate and Teitelbaum [MTT86])** Let $E$ be an elliptic curve with good ordinary reduction or with multiplicative reduction at a prime $p$.

- The order of vanishing of the $p$-adic $L$-function $L_p(E,T)$ at $T = 0$ is equal to the rank $r$, unless $E$ has split multiplicative reduction at $p$ in which case the order of vanishing is equal to $r + 1$.
- The leading term $L_p^*(E,0)$ satisfies

$$L_p^*(E,0) = \epsilon_p \cdot \frac{\prod c_v \cdot \#III(E/Q)}{(\#E(Q)_{tor})^2} \cdot \text{Reg}_\gamma(E/Q)$$

unless the reduction is split multiplicative in which case the leading term is

$$L_p^*(E,0) = \frac{L_p}{\log(\kappa(\gamma))} \cdot \frac{\prod c_v \cdot \#III(E/Q)}{(\#E(Q)_{tor})^2} \cdot \text{Reg}_\gamma(E/Q),$$

where $L_p$ is as in Equation (3.4.1).

The conjecture asserts exact equality, not just equality up to a $p$-adic unit. However, the current approaches to the conjecture, which involve the main conjecture of Iwasawa theory, prove results up to a $p$-adic unit, since the characteristic power series is only defined up to a unit, as we will see in Section 7.

Again, we consider the curve $E_0$ (see Equation (3.3.1)) for an example in the good ordinary case. For this curve, we have $\prod c_v = 2$ and $E_0(Q)_{tor} = 0$, so all the terms in the expression above can be computed except for the unknown size of $III(E_0/Q)$. The $p$-adic BSD conjecture predicts that

$$\#III(E_0/Q) = 1 + O(5^3)$$

just as the complex BSD conjecture claims that the Tate-Shafarevich group $\#III(E_0/Q)$ is trivial.

### 5.2 The supersingular case

The conjecture in the case of supersingular reduction is given in [BPR93] and [PR03]. The conjecture relates an algebraic and an analytic value in the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space $D_p(E)$ of dimension 2. (The fact that we have two coordinates was used by Kurihara and Pollack in [KP07] to construct global points via a $p$-adic analytic computation.)

We say that an element $a(T) \cdot \omega_E + b(T) \cdot \eta_E$ in $D_p(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p[T]$ has order $d$ at $T = 0$ if $d$ is equal to the minimum of the orders of $a(T)$ and $b(T)$. 
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Conjecture 5.2. (Bernardi and Perrin-Riou [BPR93]) Let $E$ be an elliptic curve with supersingular reduction at a prime $p$.

- The order of vanishing of the $D_p$-valued $L$-series $L_p(E, T)$ at $T = 0$ is equal to the rank $r$ of $E(Q)$.

- The leading term $L^\ast_p(E, 0)$ satisfies

\[(1 - \varphi)^{-2} \cdot L^\ast_p(E, 0) = \prod_v c_v \cdot \#\Sha(E/Q) \cdot \Reg_v(E/Q) \in D_p(E).
\]

We emphasize that both sides of (5.2.1) are dependent on the Weierstrass equation. But under a change of the form $x' = u^2 \cdot x + r$, they both get multiplied by $\frac{1}{u}$ and hence the conjecture is independent of this choice.

6 Iwasawa theory of elliptic curves

We suppose from now on that $p > 2$. Let $\infty Q$ be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, which is a Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ whose Galois group is $\Gamma$. Let $\Lambda$ be the completed group algebra $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma]$. We use a fixed topological generator $\gamma$ of $\Gamma$ to identify $\Lambda$ with $\mathbb{Z}_p[\left\{ T \right\}]$ by sending $\gamma$ to $1 + T$. Any finitely generated $\Lambda$-module admits a decomposition up to quasi-isomorphism as a direct sum of elementary $\Lambda$-modules. Denote by $n Q$ the $n$-th layer of the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension, so $n Q$ is a subfield of $\infty Q$ and $\text{Gal}(n Q/\mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}$. As in Section 1.1, we define the $p$-Selmer group of $E$ over $n Q$ by the exact sequence

\[0 \rightarrow S_p(E/n Q) \rightarrow H^1(n Q, E(p)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_v H^1(n Q_v, E)\]

with the product running over all places $v$ of $n Q$. Over the full $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension, we define $S_p(E/\infty Q)$ to be the direct limit $\varinjlim S_p(E/n Q)$ with respect to the maps induced by the restriction maps $H^1(n Q, E(p)) \rightarrow H^1(n+1 Q, E(p))$. The group $S_p(E/\infty Q)$ encodes information about the growth of the rank of $E(n Q)$ and of the size of $\Sha(E/n Q)(p)$ as $n$ tends to infinity. We will consider the Pontryagin dual

\[X(E/\infty Q) = \text{Hom} (S_p(E/\infty Q), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p),\]

which is a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module (see [CS00]). For further introduction to these objects, see [Gre01].

6.1 The ordinary case

Assume that the reduction at $p$ is either good ordinary or of multiplicative type. Kato's theorem (see [Kat04, Thm. 17.4]), which uses the work of Rohrlich [Roh84], states that $X(E/\infty Q)$ is a torsion $\Lambda$-module, so we may associate
to it a characteristic series

\[ f_E(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T] \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.1.1)

that is well-defined up to multiplication by a unit in \( \mathbb{Z}_p[T]^{\times} \).

In analogy to the zeta-function of a variety over a finite field, we think of \( f_E(T) \) as a generating function encoding the growth of the rank and the Tate-Shafarevich group. For instance, the zeros of \( f_E(T) \) at \( T = \zeta - 1 \) with \( \zeta \) a root of unity whose order is a power of \( p \) describe the growth of the rank. Since a nonzero power series with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) can only have finitely many zeros, we can deduce that the rank of \( E(n_{\mathbb{Q}}) \) has to stabilize in the tower \( n_{\mathbb{Q}} \). In other words, the Mordell-Weil group \( E(\infty_{\mathbb{Q}}) \) is still of finite rank.

The following result is due to Schneider [Sch85] and Perrin-Riou [PR82]. The multiplicative case is due to Jones [Jon89]. Note that it uses the analytic and algebraic \( p \)-adic height defined by Schneider in [Sch82]; with the mentioned correction by Werner they agree with our definition in Section 4.2.

**Theorem 6.1** (Schneider, Perrin-Riou, Jones).

The order of vanishing of \( f_E(T) \) at \( T = 0 \) is at least equal to the rank \( r \). It is equal to \( r \) if and only if the \( p \)-adic height pairing is nondegenerate (Conjecture 4.1) and the \( p \)-primary part of the Tate-Shafarevich group \( \Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})(p) \) is finite (Conjecture 1.2). In this case the leading term of the series \( f_E(T) \) has the same valuation as

\[ \epsilon_p \cdot \prod_{\nu} c_{\nu} \cdot \frac{\#\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)}{\#E(\mathbb{Q})(p)^2} \cdot \text{Reg}_{\gamma}(E/\mathbb{Q}), \]

unless the reduction is split multiplicative in which case the same formula holds with \( \epsilon_p \) replaced by \( \frac{\mathcal{L}_p}{\log(\kappa(\gamma))} \).

Let us consider again our running example curve \( E_0 \). We have computed the \( 5 \)-adic regulator and found that it is nonzero. The above theorem shows that the order of vanishing of \( f_{E_0}(T) \) is at least equal to the rank. The finiteness of \( \Sha(E_0/\mathbb{Q})(5) \) is now equivalent to the statement that the order of vanishing of \( f_{E_0}(T) \) is equal to the rank 2 of \( E_0 \). If this is the case, then the leading coefficient has valuation equal to

\[ \text{ord}_5(f_{E_0}^*(0)) = 1 + \text{ord}_5(\#\Sha(E_0/\mathbb{Q})(5)). \]

If the valuation of the leading term of \( f_{E_0}(T) \) is positive we call \( p \) an irregular prime for \( E \). For irregular primes either the Mordell-Weil rank of \( E \) over \( \infty_{\mathbb{Q}} \) is larger than the rank of \( E(\mathbb{Q}) \) or the Tate-Shafarevich group \( \Sha(E/\infty_{\mathbb{Q}}) \) is no longer finite. We will determine exactly what happens for \( E_0 \) with \( p = 5 \) in Section 7.1 below.

### 6.2 The supersingular case

The supersingular case is more complicated, since the \( \Lambda \)-module \( X(E/\infty_{\mathbb{Q}}) \) is not torsion. A beautiful approach to the supersingular case has been found by
Pollack [Po03] and Kobayashi [Kob03]. As mentioned above (in Section 3.5), there are two \( p \)-adic series \( L^\pm(E, T) \) to which will correspond two new Selmer groups \( X^\pm(E/\infty \mathbb{Q}) \), which are \( \Lambda \)-torsion. Despite the advantages of this \( \pm \)-theory, we use the approach of Perrin-Riou here. See [PR03, §3].

Let \( T_pE \) be the Tate module and define \( H^1_{\text{loc}} \) to be the projective limit of the cohomology groups \( H^1(n \mathbb{Q}_p, T_pE) \) with respect to the corestriction maps. Here \( n \mathbb{Q}_p \) is the localization of \( n \mathbb{Q} \) at the unique prime \( p \) above \( p \). Perrin-Riou [PR94] constructed a \( \Lambda \)-linear Coleman map \( \text{Col} \) from \( H^1_{\text{loc}} \) to a submodule of \( \mathbb{Q}_p[T] \otimes D_p(E) \).

Define the fine Selmer group to be the kernel
\[
\mathcal{R}(E/n \mathbb{Q}) = \ker (S(E/n \mathbb{Q}) \to E(n \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p).
\]
It is again a consequence of the work of Kato, namely [Kat04, Thm. 12.4], that the Pontryagin dual \( Y(E/\infty \mathbb{Q}) \) of \( \mathcal{R}(E/\infty \mathbb{Q}) \) is a \( \Lambda \)-torsion module. Denote by \( \gamma_E(T) \) its characteristic series.

Let \( \Sigma \) be any finite set of places in \( \mathbb{Q} \) containing the places of bad reduction for \( E \) and the places \( \infty \) and \( p \). Let \( G_\Sigma(n \mathbb{Q}) \) denote the Galois group of the maximal extension of \( n \mathbb{Q} \) unramified at all places which do not lie above \( \Sigma \). Next we define \( H^1_{\text{glob}} \) as the projective limit of \( H^1(G_\Sigma(n \mathbb{Q}), T_pE) \). It is a \( \Lambda \)-module of rank 1 and it is independent of the choice of \( \Sigma \).

By Kato again, the \( \Lambda \)-module \( H^1_{\text{glob}} \) is torsion-free and \( H^1_{\text{glob}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \) has \( \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \)-rank 1. Choose now any element \( \infty \gamma_E \) in \( H^1_{\text{glob}} \) such that \( \mathcal{Z}_C = H^1_{\text{glob}}/(\Lambda \cdot \infty \gamma_E) \) is \( \Lambda \)-torsion. Typically such a choice could be the “zeta element” of Kato, i.e., the image of his Euler system in \( H^1_{\text{glob}} \). Write \( h_{\infty}(T) \) for the characteristic series of \( \mathcal{Z}_C \). Then we define an algebraic equivalent of the \( D_p(E) \)-valued L-series by
\[
f_{\infty}(T) = \text{Col}(\infty \gamma_E) \cdot g_{\infty}(T) \cdot h_{\infty}(T)^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}_p[T] \otimes D_p(E)
\]
where by \( \text{Col}(\infty \gamma_E) \) we mean the image under the Coleman map \( \text{Col} \) of the localization of \( \infty \gamma_E \) to \( H^1_{\text{loc}} \). The resulting series \( f_{\infty}(T) \) is independent of the choice of \( \infty \gamma_E \). Of course, \( f_{\infty}(T) \) is again only defined up to multiplication by a unit in \( \Lambda^\infty \).

Again we have a result due to Perrin-Riou [PR93]:

**Theorem 6.2** (Perrin-Riou).
*The order of vanishing of \( f_{\infty}(T) \) at \( T = 0 \) is at least equal to the rank \( r \). It is equal to \( r \) if and only if the \( D_p(E) \)-valued regulator \( \text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) \) is nonzero (Conjecture 4.4) and the \( p \)-primary part of the Tate-Shafarevich group \( \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p) \) is finite (Conjecture 1.2). In this case the leading term of the series \( (1 - \varphi)^{-2} f_{\infty}(T) \) has the same valuation as
\[
\prod \nu \ c_{\nu} \cdot \# \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p) \cdot \text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) .
\]"
Note that the proof of this theorem in the appendix of [PR03] for the supersingular case uses the formula in Lemma 4.3 rather than the wrong definition of the regulator. Also we simplified the right hand term in comparison to (5.2.1), because the reduction at \( p \) is supersingular, so \( N_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \), hence \( \#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} \) must be a \( p \)-adic unit.

7 The Main Conjecture

The main conjecture links the two \( p \)-adic power series (3.0.3) and (6.1.1) of the previous sections. We formulate everything simultaneously for the ordinary and the supersingular case, even if they are of quite different nature. We still assume that \( p \neq 2 \).

Conjecture 7.1. (Main conjecture of Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves)

If \( E \) has good or nonsplit multiplicative reduction at \( p \), then there exists an element \( u(T) \) in \( \Lambda^\times \) such that \( L_p(E, T) = f_E(T) \cdot u(T) \). If the reduction of \( E \) at \( p \) is split multiplicative, then there exists such a \( u(T) \) in \( T \cdot \Lambda^\times \).

The statement of the main conjecture for supersingular primes is equivalent to Kato’s formulation in [Kat04, Conj. 12.10] and to Kobayashi’s version in [Kob03]. In the notation of Section 6.2, it asserts that \( g_E(T) = h_c(T) \), where \( c \) is Kato’s zeta element.

Much is now known about this conjecture. To the elliptic curve \( E \) we attach the representation \( \bar{\rho}_p : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E[\mathfrak{p}]) \approx \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \) of the absolute Galois group of \( \mathbb{Q} \). Serre [Ser72] proved that \( \bar{\rho}_p \) is almost always surjective (note our running hypothesis that \( E \) does not have complex multiplication) and that for semistable curves surjectivity can only fail when there is an isogeny of degree \( p \) defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \) (see [Ser96] and [RS01, Prop. 1.1] for the case \( p = 2 \)).

Kato’s Theorem 7.2.

Suppose that \( E \) has semistable reduction at \( p \) and that \( \bar{\rho}_p \) is surjective. Then there exists a series \( d(T) \) in \( \Lambda \) such that \( L_p(E, T) = f_E(T) \cdot d(T) \). If the reduction is split multiplicative then \( T \) divides \( d(T) \).

The main ingredient for this theorem is in [Kat04, Thm. 17.4], which addresses the good ordinary case when \( \bar{\rho}_p \) is surjective. For the exceptional case we refer to [Kob06], which treats the case of split multiplicative reduction (i.e., where exceptional zeroes appear).

For the remaining cases, we obtain only a weaker statement:
Kato’s Theorem 7.3.
Suppose that \( \bar{\rho}_p \) is not surjective. Then there is an integer \( m \geq 0 \) such that \( f_p(T) \) divides \( p^m \cdot \mathcal{L}_p(E,T) \).

Greenberg and Vatsal [GV00] have shown that in certain cases the main conjecture holds when \( E[p] \) is reducible. Recently, Skinner-Urban have shown in [SU10] the main conjecture in much larger generality.

Theorem 7.4 (Skinner-Urban).
Suppose that \( E \) has good ordinary reduction at \( p \), that \( \rho_p \) is surjective and that there exists a prime \( q \) of multiplicative reduction such that \( \bar{\rho}_p \) is ramified at \( q \). Then the main conjecture holds, i.e. \( \mathcal{L}_p(E,T) \) is equal to \( f_p(T) \), up to a unit in \( \Lambda \).

The condition on the extra prime \( q \) is satisfied if \( E \) has split multiplicative reduction at \( q \) and \( p \) does not divide the Tamagawa number \( c_q \). If \( E \) has non-split multiplicative reduction, one has to check that \( p \) does not divide the Tamagawa number over the unramified quadratic extension of \( \mathbb{Q} \).

7.1 The Example
Consider again the curve \( E_0 \) (see Equation (3.3.1)) and the good ordinary prime \( p = 5 \). Kato theorem implies that \( f_{E_0}(T) \) divides \( \mathcal{L}_p(E_0,T) \). Since we have found two linearly independent points of infinite order on \( E_0(\mathbb{Q}) \), we know that the rank of \( E_0(\mathbb{Q}) \) is at least 2. Hence the order of vanishing of \( f_{E_0}(T) \) at \( T = 0 \) is at least 2 and, by Theorem 7.2, so is the order of vanishing for \( \mathcal{L}_p(E_0,T) \). By explicitly computing an approximation to \( \mathcal{L}_p(E_0,T) \) we see that the order of vanishing cannot be larger than 2. Therefore the rank of \( E_0(\mathbb{Q}) \) is equal to the order of vanishing of the \( p \)-adic \( L \)-series.

But we know more now. The fact that the order of vanishing of \( f_{E_0}(T) \) is equal to 2 shows that the 5-primary part of \( \Sha(E_0/\mathbb{Q}) \) cannot be infinite. We compute the leading term of \( f_{E_0}(T) \) by computing \( \text{Reg}_p(E) \) and using Theorem 6.1. Comparing the leading term of \( \mathcal{L}_p(E_0,T) \), which has valuation 1, and the leading term of \( f_{E_0}(T) \), which has valuation \( 1 + \text{ord}_5(\#\Sha(E_0/\mathbb{Q})(5)) \), shows that the 5-primary part of \( \Sha(E_0/\mathbb{Q}) \) is trivial.

Moreover, the series \( f_{E_0}(T) \) and \( \mathcal{L}_p(E_0,T) \) have the same leading term, which implies that the main conjecture holds, i.e., \( f_{E_0}(T) \in \mathcal{L}_p(E_0,T) \cdot \Lambda^\times \). By analyzing the series \( \mathcal{L}_p(E_0,T) \), it can be shown that

\[
f_{E_0}(T) = T \cdot ((T + 1)^5 - 1) \cdot u(T)
\]

for a unit \( u(T) \in \Lambda^\times \). Let \( 1_\mathbb{Q} \) be the first layer of the \( \mathbb{Z}_5 \)-extension of \( \mathbb{Q} \). Unless the Tate-Shafarevich group \( \Sha(E_1/\mathbb{Q})(5) \) is infinite, Iwasawa theory predicts that the rank of the Mordell-Weil group \( E_0(1_\mathbb{Q}) \) is 6. Doing a quick search it is easy to find points of infinite order in \( E_0(1_\mathbb{Q}) \) which are not defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Therefore, we know that the rank of \( E_0(1_\mathbb{Q}) \) and of \( E_0(\infty_\mathbb{Q}) \) is 6 and
that $\Pi(E_0/1\mathbb{Q})(5)$ and $\Pi(E_0/\infty\mathbb{Q})(5)$ are finite. For more examples of such factorizations of $p$-adic $L$-series we refer to [Pol].

8 If the $L$-series does not vanish

Suppose the Hasse-Weil $L$-function $L(E, s)$ does not vanish at $s = 1$. In this case, Kolyvagin proved that $E(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\Pi(E/\mathbb{Q})$ are finite. In particular Conjecture 1.2 is valid; also, Conjectures 4.1 and 4.4 are trivially true in this case.

Let $p > 2$ be a prime of semistable reduction such that the representation $\bar{\rho}_p$ is surjective. By the interpolation property, we know that $L_p(E, 0)$ is nonzero, unless $E$ has split multiplicative reduction.

8.1 The good ordinary case

In the ordinary case we have

$$\epsilon_p^{-1} \cdot L_p(E, 0) = \frac{L(E, 1)}{\Omega_E} = [0]^+, \quad \text{which is a nonzero rational number by [Man72].}$$

In the following inequality, we use Theorem 6.1 of Perrin-Riou and Schneider for the first equality and Kato’s Theorem 7.2 on the main conjecture for the inequality in the second line.

$$\text{ord}_p \left( \epsilon_p \cdot \prod c_\nu \cdot \# \Pi(E/\mathbb{Q})(p) \right) = \text{ord}_p(f_E(0))$$

$$\leq \text{ord}_p(L_p(E, 0))$$

$$= \text{ord}_p\left( \frac{L(E, 1)}{\Omega_E} \right) + \text{ord}_p(\epsilon_p)$$

Hence, we have the following upper bound on the $p$-primary part of the Tate-Shafarevich group

$$\text{ord}_p(\# \Pi(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)) \leq \text{ord}_p\left( \frac{L(E, 1)}{\Omega_E} \right) - \text{ord}_p\left( \frac{\prod c_\nu}{(\# E(\mathbb{Q})_{tor})^2} \right)$$

$$= \text{ord}_p(\# \Pi(E/\mathbb{Q})_{an}). \quad (8.1.1)$$

Under the assumption of the main conjecture, this is sharp. In particular if the conditions of Theorem 7.4 are satisfied for $p$, then we have the equality $\text{ord}_p(\# \Pi(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)) = \text{ord}_p(\# \Pi(E/\mathbb{Q})_{an})$. This is Theorem 2.a in [SU10].

\[^{5}\text{In the case of analytic rank 0, the theorem is actually relatively easy and well explained in [CS00].[Todo: better ref: “chapter 3, Euler characteristic formula”?]}\]
8.2 The multiplicative case

If the reduction is nonsplit, then the above holds just the same, because in all the theorems involved the nonsplit case never differs from the good ordinary case (only the split multiplicative case is exceptional). If instead the reduction is split multiplicative, we have that

\[ L_p'(E,0) = 0 \] and

\[ L_p' \left( E, 0 \right) = L_p \left( E, 0 \right) = 0 \]

Since the \( p \)-adic multiplier is the same on the algebraic as on the analytic side, we can once again compute as above to obtain the same bound (8.1.1).

8.3 The supersingular case

For the supersingular \( D_p(E) \)-valued series, we have

\[ (1 - \varphi)^{-2} \cdot L_p(E,0) = \frac{L(E,1)}{\Omega_E} \cdot \omega_E = [0]^+ \cdot \omega_E, \]

which is a nonzero element of \( D_p(E) \). The \( D_p(E) \)-valued regulator \( \text{Reg}_p(E/Q) \) is equal to \( \omega_E \). We may therefore concentrate solely on the coordinate in \( \omega_E \).

Write \( \text{ord}_p(f_E(0)) \) for the \( p \)-adic valuation of the leading coefficient of the \( \omega_E \)-coordinate of \( f_E(T) \). Again we obtain an inequality by using Theorem 6.2:

\[ \text{ord}_p \left( \prod \chi_v \cdot \# \Pi(E/Q)(p) \right) = \text{ord}_p((1-\varphi)^{-2} f_E(0)) \]

\[ \leq \text{ord}_p((1-\varphi)^{-2} L_p(E,0)) \]

\[ = \text{ord}_p \left( \frac{L(E,1)}{\Omega_E} \right). \]

So we have once again that \( \# \Pi(E/Q)(p) \) is bounded from above by the highest power of \( p \) dividing \( \# \Pi(E/Q)_{\text{an}} \).

8.4 Conclusion

Summarizing the above computations, we have

**Theorem 8.1** (Kato, Perrin-Riou, Schneider).

*Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve such that \( L(E,1) \neq 0 \). Then \( \Pi(E/Q) \) is finite and

\[ \# \Pi(E/Q) \mid C \cdot \frac{L(E,1)}{\Omega_E} \cdot \frac{(\#E(Q)_{\text{tor}})^2}{\prod \chi_v} \]

where \( C \) is a product of a power of 2 and of powers of primes of additive reduction and of powers of primes for which the representation \( \bar{\rho}_p \) is not surjective.*

This improves [Rub00, Cor. 3.5.19].
9 If the \( L \)-series vanishes to the first order

We suppose for this section that \( E \) has good and ordinary reduction at \( p \) and that the complex \( L \)-series \( L(E, s) \) has a zero of order 1 at \( s = 1 \). Kolyvagin’s theorem implies that \( \Sha(E/\mathbb{Q}) \) is finite and that the rank of \( E(\mathbb{Q}) \) is equal to 1. Let \( P \) be a choice of generator of the Mordell-Weil group modulo torsion. Suppose that the \( p \)-adic height \( \hat{h}_p(P) \) is nonzero. A theorem of Perrin-Riou in [PR87] asserts the following equality of rational numbers:

\[
\frac{1}{\Reg(E/\mathbb{Q})} \cdot \frac{L'(E, 1)}{\Omega_E} = \frac{1}{\Reg_p(E/\mathbb{Q})} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathcal{L}_p'(E, 0)}{(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})^2 \cdot \log(\kappa(\gamma))} \right),
\]

where, on the left hand side, we have the canonical real-valued regulator \( \Reg(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \hat{h}(P) \) and the leading coefficient of \( L(E, s) \), while, on the right hand side, we have the \( p \)-adic regulator \( \Reg_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \hat{h}_p(P) \) and the leading term of the \( p \)-adic \( L \)-series. By the BSD conjecture (or its \( p \)-adic analogue), this rational number should be equal to \( \prod c_u \cdot \#\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cdot (\#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\tor})^{-2} \). By Kato’s theorem, we know that the characteristic series \( f_\kappa(T) \) of the Selmer group divides \( \mathcal{L}_p(E, T) \), at least up to a power of \( p \). Hence the series \( f_\kappa(T) \) has a zero of order 1 at \( T = 0 \) and its leading term divides the above rational number in \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) (here we use that \( E(\mathbb{Q}) \) has rank 1 so \( T \mid f_\kappa(T) \)). We thus arrive at the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.1 (Kato, Perrin-Riou).**

*Let \( E/\mathbb{Q} \) be an elliptic curve with good ordinary reduction at the odd prime \( p \).*

*Assume that the \( p \)-adic regulator of \( E \) is nonzero. Suppose that the representation \( \hat{\rho}_p \) is surjective onto \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \).*

*If \( L(E, s) \) has a simple zero at \( s = 1 \), \( \Sha(E/\mathbb{Q}) \) is finite and*

\[
\text{ord}_p(\#\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)) \leq \text{ord}_p \left( \frac{(\#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\tor})^2}{\prod c_u} \cdot \frac{1}{\Reg(E/\mathbb{Q})} \cdot \frac{L'(E, 1)}{\Omega_E} \right) = \text{ord}_p(\#\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)_{\text{an}}).
\]

In other words the upper bound asserted by the BSD conjecture is true up to a factor involving only bad and supersingular primes, and primes for which the representation is not surjective.

The above theorem has as a hypothesis that the reduction is good ordinary, because this is the only case when we know a proof of the \( p \)-adic Gross-Zagier formula. It would be interesting to obtain a generalization of the \( p \)-adic Gross-Zagier formula to the supersingular case.
10 The algorithm for an upper bound on the rank

Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve. In this section we explain how to compute upper bounds on the rank $r$ of the Mordell-Weil group $E(\mathbb{Q})$. For this purpose, we choose a prime $p$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $p > 2$,
- $E$ has good reduction at $p$.

By computing the analytic $p$-adic $L$-function $L_p(E, T)$ to a certain precision, we find an upper bound, say $b$, on the order of vanishing of $L_p(E, T)$ at $T = 0$. Note that a theorem of Rohrlich [Roh84] guarantees that $L_p(E, T)$ is not zero. Then

$$b \geq \text{ord}_{T=0} L_p(E, T) \geq \text{ord}_{T=0} f_E(T) \geq r$$

by Kato’s Theorems 7.2 and 7.3 and by the theorems 6.1 and 6.2. Hence we have an upper bound on the rank $r$.

**Proposition 10.1.** The computation of an approximation of the $p$-adic $L$-series of $E$ for an odd prime $p$ of good reduction produces an upper bound on the rank $r$ of the Mordell-Weil group $E(\mathbb{Q})$.

By searching for points of small height on $E$ at the same time, one also obtains a lower bound on the rank $r$. Simultaneously we can increase the precision of the computation of the $p$-adic $L$-function in order to try to lower the bound $b$. Eventually the lower bound is equal to the upper bound, unless the $p$-adic BSD Conjecture 5.1 or 5.2 is false. This is similar to the algorithm described in Proposition 2.2, except that we do know here that our upper bounds are unconditional. But we do not know if the algorithm terminates after finitely many steps. Summarizing we can claim the following.

**Proposition 10.2.** Let $E$ be an elliptic curve, and assume that there is a prime $p$ of good reduction such that the $p$-adic BSD conjecture is true. Then there is an algorithm that computes the rank $r$ of $E$ using $p$-adic $L$-functions.

Of course, the algorithm for computing bounds on the rank $r$ using $m$-descents has the same properties: it tries to determine the rank by searching for points and by bounding $r$ from above by the rank of the various $m$-Selmer groups. Unless all the $p$-primary parts of the Tate-Shafarevich group are infinite, this algorithm returns the rank $r$ after a finite number of steps.

But the two algorithms are fundamentally different, since the $m$-descent algorithm is fast and there are optimized implementations for small $m$, but it would be prohibitively time-consuming for larger $m$ (e.g., $m \geq 13$). In contrast, computing the $p$-adic $L$-series even for $p$ around 1000 is reasonably efficient, assuming one can compute the relevant modular symbols spaces.
10.1 Technical remarks

The second condition above (good reduction) on the prime $p$ is too strict. We may actually allow primes of multiplicative reduction, too. Of course in the exceptional case, when $E$ has split multiplicative reduction, the upper bound $b$ on the order of vanishing of the $p$-adic $L$-function $L_p(E, T)$ at $T = 0$ satisfies $b \geq r + 1$.

Note that, assuming that the $p$-adic BSD conjecture holds, it is easy to predict the needed precision in the computation of the $p$-adic $L$-series. So we can compute immediately with the precision that should be sufficient and concentrate on the search for points of small heights.

For practical purposes, we take $p$ as small as possible. The computation of the leading term of $L_p(E, T)$ for curves of higher rank $r$ is time-consuming for large $p$. Also we should avoid primes $p$ with supersingular or split multiplicative reduction as there the needed precision is much higher and the computation of $b$ is much slower.

Also the speed of the computation of $L_p(E, T)$ using modular symbols depends on the size of the conductor. As the conductor grows, the determination of the rank, when it is larger than 1, using the descent method becomes much more efficient than the use of $p$-adic $L$-series computed using modular symbols following the linear algebra algorithm of [Cre97]. However, using $p$-adic $L$-series may provide an enormous advantage when considering families of quadratic twists.

An advantage to the descent method is that the determination of the $m$-Selmer group for some $m > 1$ can be used for the search of points of infinite order. If the elements of the Selmer group can be expressed as coverings, it is more efficient to search for rational points on the coverings rather than on the elliptic curve itself.

11 The algorithm for the Tate-Shafarevich group

The second algorithm takes as input an elliptic curve $E$ and a prime $p$ and tries to compute an upper bound on the $p$-primary part of $\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})$. To apply the results above, we impose the following conditions on $(E, p)$:

- $p > 2$,
- $E$ does not have additive reduction at $p$,
- the image of $\rho_p$ is the full group $\text{GL}_2(F_p)$.

As mentioned above, these conditions apply to all but finitely many primes $p$.

**Algorithm 11.1.** Given an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{Q}$ and a prime $p$ satisfying the above conditions, this procedure either gives an upper bound for $\#\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)$ or terminates with an error.
1. Determine the rank $r$ and the full Mordell-Weil group $E(\mathbb{Q})$. Exit with an error if we fail to do this.

2. Compute the $p$-adic regulator of $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ using the efficient algorithm in [MST06]. Exit with an error if the $p$-adic height pairing cannot be shown to be nondegenerate.

3. Using modular symbols, compute an approximation of the leading term $L_p^*(E, 0)$ of the $p$-adic $L$-function $L_p(E, T)$. If the order of vanishing
   \[ \text{ord}_{T=0} L_p(E, T) \]
   is equal to $r$ (or $r + 1$ if $E$ has split multiplicative reduction at $p$), then we print that $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)$ is finite, otherwise we increase the precision of the computation of $L_p(E, T)$. If, after some prespecified cutoff, this fails to prove that $\text{ord}_{T=0} L_p(E, T) = r$ (or $r + 1$), then exit with an error.

4. Compute the remaining information, including the Tamagawa numbers $c_\upsilon$ and the $p$-adic multiplier $\epsilon_p$. If $p$ is an good ordinary prime or a prime at which $E$ has nonsplit multiplicative reduction, then let
   \[ b_p = \text{ord}_p(L_p^*(E, 0)) + 2 \cdot \text{ord}_p(\#(E(\mathbb{Q}))) - \text{ord}_p(\epsilon_p) \]
   \[ - \sum_\upsilon \text{ord}_p(c_\upsilon) - \text{ord}_p(\text{Reg}_\gamma(E/\mathbb{Q})) \]
   if $p$ is supersingular, let
   \[ b_p = \text{ord}_p((1 - \varphi)^{-2} L_p^*(E, 0)) - \text{ord}_p(\text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q})) - \sum_\upsilon \text{ord}_p(c_\upsilon) \]
   and finally if $E$ has split multiplicative reduction at $p$, let
   \[ b_p = \text{ord}_p(L_p^*(E, 0)) + 2 \cdot \text{ord}_p(\#(E(\mathbb{Q}))) - \text{ord}_p(L_p) \]
   \[ - \sum_\upsilon \text{ord}_p(c_\upsilon) - \text{ord}_p(\text{Reg}_\gamma(E/\mathbb{Q})) \]

5. Output that $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)$ is bounded by $p^{b_p}$.

*Proof.* At Step 4, we have shown that Conjecture 4.1 (or Conjecture 4.4 in the supersingular case) on the nondegeneracy of the $p$-adic regulator holds and that $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)$ is indeed finite by Theorem 6.1 (or Theorem 6.2 in the supersingular case). Moreover this theorem shows that
   \[ \text{ord}_p(\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)) = \text{ord}_p(f_E^*(0)) + \text{ord}_p\left(\frac{(\#E(\mathbb{Q}))(p)^2}{\epsilon_p \cdot \prod_\upsilon c_\upsilon \cdot \text{Reg}_\gamma(E/\mathbb{Q})}\right) \]
   in the ordinary case (or the same formula where $\epsilon_p$ replaces by $L_p$ in the split multiplicative case) and
   \[ \text{ord}_p(\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)) = \text{ord}_p((1 - \varphi)^{-2} f_E^*(0)) - \text{ord}_p(\text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q})) - \sum_\upsilon \text{ord}_p(c_\upsilon) \]
in the supersingular case. Finally, we use Kato’s Theorem 7.2 that
\[ \text{ord}_p(f_E^*(0)) \leq \text{ord}_p(\mathcal{L}_p^*(E, 0)) \]
to prove that \( b_p \) is indeed an upper bound on \( \text{ord}_p(\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)) \).

In the next proposition we summarize the discussion of this section.

**Proposition 11.2.** Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve and \( p > 2 \) a prime for which \( E \) has semistable reduction. If Conjectures 4.1 and 4.4 hold and if we are able to determine the Mordell-Weil group of \( E \), then there is an algorithm to verify that the \( p \)-primary part of \( \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \) is finite. If moreover the representation \( \bar{\rho}_p \) is surjective, then the algorithm produces an upper bound on \( \#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p) \). If Conjecture 7.1 holds then the result of the algorithm is equal to the order of \( \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p) \).

### 11.1 Technical remarks

In Step 1 we may use several ways to determine the rank and the Mordell-Weil group. E.g., first compute the modular symbol \([0]^+\). If it is not zero, we have that \( L(E, 1) \neq 0 \) and the rank has to be 0. If the order of vanishing of \( L(E, s) \) at \( s = 1 \) is 1, we may use Heegner points to find the full Mordell-Weil group, which then is of rank 1. Otherwise we use descent methods or the algorithm in the previous section to bound the rank from above and a search for points to find a lower bound. When enough points are found to generate a group of finite index, we saturate the group using infinite descent in order to find the full group \( E(\mathbb{Q}) \). In practice this step does not create any problems as Step 3 is usually computationally more difficult.

In Step 3, it is easy to determine the precision that will be needed to compute the \( p \)-adic valuation of the leading term \( \mathcal{L}_p^*(E, 0) \) if we assume the complex and the \( p \)-adic version of the BSD conjecture. Hence it is easy to decide when to exit at this step.

The algorithm exits with an error only if the Mordell-Weil group could not be determined (in Step 1), if Conjecture 4.1 or 4.4 is wrong (in Step 2), if the \( p \)-primary part of \( \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \) is infinite or if the main conjecture is false (both in Step 3). Hence only weaker variants of the \( p \)-adic Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture are needed.

Another application of the algorithm is the following remark. If, for a given elliptic curve \( E \) and a prime \( p \), the algorithm yields the as output that the \( p \)-primary part of \( \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \) is trivial, then the algorithm has actually also proved the main conjecture for \( E \) and \( p \). Because we know by then that \( \mathcal{L}_p(E, T) \) and the characteristic series \( f_E(T) \) of the Selmer group have the same order of vanishing at \( T = 0 \) and the leading terms have the same valuation. Since, by Kato’s theorem \( f_E(T) \) divides \( \mathcal{L}_p(E, T) \), we know then that the quotient is a unit in \( \mathbb{Z}_p[T] \). Such calculations and especially this remark on how to
verify the main conjecture in special cases are already contained in [PR03] for supersingular primes $p$.

12 Numerical results

The algorithms described above were implemented by the authors in Sage (see [S+11]) and all of the calculations given below can be carried out using Sage.

12.1 A split multiplicative example

To give an example of a curve with split multiplicative reduction, we use the same curve as before (see Equation (3.3.1))

$$E_0: \quad y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 4x + 4$$

but with the prime $p = 223$. Of course, there is no hope in practice that a 223-descent could be used to compute the order of $\Sha(E_0/\mathbb{Q})(223)$. We can compute the $p$-adic regulator and the $L$-invariant to high precision quickly using Tate’s parametrization of $E_0$:

$$\text{Reg}_p(E_0/\mathbb{Q}) = 153 \cdot 223^2 + 125 \cdot 223^3 + 124 \cdot 223^4 + \mathcal{O}(223^5),$$

$$L = 179 \cdot 223 + 85 \cdot 223^2 + 30 \cdot 223^3 + \mathcal{O}(223^4).$$

The computation of the $p$-adic $L$-series is more time consuming\(^6\). But as we only need the first $p$-adic digit to prove the triviality of $\Sha(E_0/\mathbb{Q})(223)$, we only need to sum over $222 \cdot 223$ modular symbols. This yields

$$L_p(E_0, T) = \mathcal{O}(223^4) + \mathcal{O}(223^1) \cdot T + \mathcal{O}(223^1) \cdot T^2 + (139 + \mathcal{O}(223)) \cdot T^3 + \mathcal{O}(T^4).$$

In fact, we know that the first three coefficients vanish as we are in the exceptional case, so the leading term has valuation 0. From these computations, we see that the $p$-adic BSD conjecture predicts that

$$\#\Sha(E_0/\mathbb{Q}) \equiv 1 \pmod{223};$$

in particular, we may conclude that $\Sha(E_0/\mathbb{Q})(223) = 0$.

12.2 A supersingular example

Let $E$ be the elliptic curve

$$E: \quad y^2 + x = x^3 + x^2 + 2x + 2$$

\(^6\)The optimized implementation mentioned in Section 12.5 takes less than one second total time, including the modular symbols space computation.
listed as curve 1483a1 in Cremona’s tables. The curve has rank 2 generated by 
\((-1,0)\) and \((0,1)\). The reduction of \(E\) at \(p = 5\) is supersingular. The \(p\)-adic 
\(L\)-function equals
\[
\mathcal{L}_p(E, T) = \left(1 + O(5)\right) \cdot T^2 + \left(1 + O(5)\right) \cdot T^3 + O(T^4) \cdot \omega_E \\
+ \left(4 \cdot 5 + O(5^2)\right) \cdot T^2 + \left(4 \cdot 5 + O(5^2)\right) \cdot T^3 + O(T^4) \cdot \varphi(\omega_E)
\]
where we have already taken in account that the first two terms vanish. We 
compute the normalized \(D_p\)-valued regulator
\[
\text{Reg}_q(E/Q) = \left(1 + 2 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + 5^3 + O(5^5)\right) \cdot \omega_E \\
+ \left(4 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + 5^4 + 2 \cdot 5^5 + O(5^6)\right) \cdot \varphi(\omega_E).
\]
Hence the \(p\)-adic BSD conjecture predicts that
\[
\left(1 + O(5)\right) \omega_E + \left(4 \cdot 5 + O(5^2)\right) \varphi(\omega_E) = \\
\#\text{III}(E/Q) \cdot \left(1 + O(5)\right) \omega_E + \left(4 \cdot 5 + O(5^2)\right) \varphi(\omega_E)\right).
\]
In particular, we have shown that \(\text{III}(E/Q)(5)\) is trivial. It follows from 
Iwasawa-theoretic consideration as in [PR03] that, if \(\#\text{III}(E/Q)(5) = 5^n\) then
\[
e_n = \frac{p}{p^2 - 1} \cdot p^n + O(1).
\]

12.3 An example whose Tate-Shafarevich group is non-trivial

Let \(E\) be the elliptic curve given by
\[
E: \quad y^2 + xy = x^3 + 16353089 x - 33554301223
\]
which is labeled 858k2 in [Cre]. The curve has rank 0 and is semistable, and the 
full BSD conjecture predicts that the Tate-Shafarevich group \(\text{III}(E/Q)\) consists 
of two copies of \(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}\).

We may compute the 7-adic \(L\)-series, which yields
\[
\mathcal{L}_7(E, T) = 7^2 \cdot (2 \cdot 7^2 + 3 \cdot 7^4 + 3 \cdot 7^5 + O(7^6)) \cdot T \\
+ (3 + 4 \cdot 7 + 5 \cdot 7^2 + O(7^3)) \cdot T^2 + O(7^3))
\]
On the algebraic side, we find that the constant term of the characteristic 
series of \(E\) has valuation \(2 + \text{ord}_7(\#\text{III}(E/Q))\). So our algorithm yields the 
correct upper bound, that \(\#\text{III}(E/Q)(7) \leq 7^2\). We can change to the curve 
858k1 with a 7-isogeny and prove there directly that the upper bound on the 
7-primary part of the Tate-Shafarevich group is 1, so by isogeny invariance of 
the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture it follows that \(\#\text{III}(E/Q)(7) = 7^2\). 
(Of course, this can be shown with other methods for this curve of rank 0,
e.g., by using Heegner points.) Since we know the exact order of $\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q})$, we deduce that the main conjecture holds. (Also, this can be deduced from Theorem 7.4 taking $q = 11$.)

Once again we learn even more from the computation of the $p$-adic $L$-series. Iwasawa theory tells us that the order of the Tate-Shafarevich group grows quickly (for an ordinary prime) in the $\mathbb{Z}_7$-extension. Namely if $\#\Sha(E/\mathbb{Q}) = 7^e$ then $e_n = 2 \cdot 7^n + 2 \cdot n + O(1)$.

12.4 Application: Heegner Twists of High Rank

[Todo: Example. Insert the -824 twist example for 11a, where the twist has rank 3. Show that Sha[p]=0 for small p, which will be useful in investigations into koly.]

12.5 Application: Shafarevich-Tate Groups of Elliptic Curves of Rank at Least 2

In this section, we describe the computation we did to verify Theorem 1.1, and describe the interesting anomalies that appeared. [Todo: write this up when it is done; adjust 140,000 accordingly, since the computation is taking much longer than I had anticipated. Maybe 140,000 gets replaced by 25,000?]

Mention here optimized psage implementation and the tricks it uses.
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