

G12MAN Mathematical Analysis

How do we do proofs?

Joel Feinstein

School of Mathematical Sciences
University of Nottingham

2006-2007

Questions related to doing proofs

- 1 What does the question mean?

Questions related to doing proofs

- 1 What does the question mean?
- 2 Are there several ways to ask the same question?

Questions related to doing proofs

- 1 What does the question mean?
- 2 Are there several ways to ask the same question?
- 3 How do we use formal definitions?

Questions related to doing proofs

- 1 What does the question mean?
- 2 Are there several ways to ask the same question?
- 3 How do we use formal definitions?
- 4 How do we start a proof?

Questions related to doing proofs

- 1 What does the question mean?
- 2 Are there several ways to ask the same question?
- 3 How do we use formal definitions?
- 4 How do we start a proof?
- 5 What are we allowed to assume during a proof?

Questions related to doing proofs

- 1 What does the question mean?
- 2 Are there several ways to ask the same question?
- 3 How do we use formal definitions?
- 4 How do we start a proof?
- 5 What are we allowed to assume during a proof?
- 6 How many different kinds of proof are there?

Sequences

In this module, when we talk about a **sequence** (x_k) , **by default** we assume that the sequence is infinite and that we start from $k = 1$. In other words, the sequence has the form x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots .

Sequences

In this module, when we talk about a **sequence** (x_k) , **by default** we assume that the sequence is infinite and that we start from $k = 1$. In other words, the sequence has the form x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots .

We may also denote such a sequence by, for example, $(x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$, if there is danger of ambiguity.

Sequences

In this module, when we talk about a **sequence** (x_k) , **by default** we assume that the sequence is infinite and that we start from $k = 1$. In other words, the sequence has the form x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots .

We may also denote such a sequence by, for example, $(x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$, if there is danger of ambiguity.

Of course, we can change the name of the index without changing the sequence. So we can also denote the above sequence by (x_n) , or by $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Sequences

In this module, when we talk about a **sequence** (x_k) , **by default** we assume that the sequence is infinite and that we start from $k = 1$. In other words, the sequence has the form x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots

We may also denote such a sequence by, for example, $(x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$, if there is danger of ambiguity.

Of course, we can change the name of the index without changing the sequence. So we can also denote the above sequence by (x_n) , or by $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

If we wish to work with sequences starting from a different first term, we will specify this. For example, a sequence of the form x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots can be denoted by $(x_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$.

Strictly increasing sequences

Question 1

What does it mean to say that a sequence of real numbers (x_k) is **strictly increasing**?

Strictly increasing sequences

Question 1

What does it mean to say that a sequence of real numbers (x_k) is **strictly increasing**?

Question 2

What definition are we using in this module for the set of natural numbers, \mathbb{N} ?

Strictly increasing sequences

Question 1

What does it mean to say that a sequence of real numbers (x_k) is **strictly increasing**?

Question 2

What definition are we using in this module for the set of natural numbers, \mathbb{N} ?

Question 3

Let (n_k) be a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers.

- What is the smallest possible value that n_2 could have?
- For a given positive integer k , what is the smallest possible value that n_k could have?
- What happens if you use the 'other' definition of \mathbb{N} ?

Prove, show, justify, or state?

I accept that the answers to Question 3 are **clear**, and can (and should) be stated without proof in this module (by saying '**clearly, ...**').

Prove, show, justify, or state?

I accept that the answers to Question 3 are **clear**, and can (and should) be stated without proof in this module (by saying '**clearly, ...**').

Question 4

How would you justify your answers to Question 3 formally if you were required to?

Prove, show, justify, or state?

I accept that the answers to Question 3 are **clear**, and can (and should) be stated without proof in this module (by saying '**clearly, ...**').

Question 4

How would you justify your answers to Question 3 formally if you were required to?

Before attempting the next two questions, you should attempt the meta-questions (i.e. questions about questions) which follow them.

Prove, show, justify, or state?

I accept that the answers to Question 3 are **clear**, and can (and should) be stated without proof in this module (by saying '**clearly**, ...').

Question 4

How would you justify your answers to Question 3 formally if you were required to?

Before attempting the next two questions, you should attempt the meta-questions (i.e. questions about questions) which follow them.

These questions are not particularly hard in themselves, though they require some understanding of convergence and divergence for series. It is the illustrative meta-questions which follow which are more important here.

Two questions about series

Question 5

Prove that, for every strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers (n_k) , the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k^2}$ is convergent.

Two questions about series

Question 5

Prove that, for every strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers (n_k) , the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k^2}$ is convergent.

Question 6

Is it true that, for every strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers (n_k) , the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k}$ is convergent?

Questions about Question 5

Question 5

Prove that, for every strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers (n_k) , the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k^2}$ is convergent.

Questions about Question 5

Question 5

Prove that, for every strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers (n_k) , the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k^2}$ is convergent.

Meta-question 5A

Can you think of several equivalent, different ways of expressing Question 5? If so, which do you find most helpful?

Questions about Question 5

Question 5

Prove that, for every strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers (n_k) , the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k^2}$ is convergent.

Meta-question 5A

Can you think of several equivalent, different ways of expressing Question 5? If so, which do you find most helpful?

Meta-question 5B

How could you start a formal proof here?

Questions about Question 5

Question 5

Prove that, for every strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers (n_k) , the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k^2}$ is convergent.

Meta-question 5A

Can you think of several equivalent, different ways of expressing Question 5? If so, which do you find most helpful?

Meta-question 5B

How could you start a formal proof here?

Meta-question 5C

What are you allowed to assume, state or use during the proof?

Questions about Question 6

Question 6

Is it true that, for every strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers (n_k) , the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k}$ is convergent?

Questions about Question 6

Question 6

Is it true that, for every strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers (n_k) , the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k}$ is convergent?

Meta-question 6A

Does it make the question harder when you are not told the answer?

Questions about Question 6

Question 6

Is it true that, for every strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers (n_k) , the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k}$ is convergent?

Meta-question 6A

Does it make the question harder when you are not told the answer?

Meta-question 6B

Do you have a guess as to what the answer might be?

Questions about Question 6

Question 6

Is it true that, for every strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers (n_k) , the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k}$ is convergent?

Meta-question 6A

Does it make the question harder when you are not told the answer?

Meta-question 6B

Do you have a guess as to what the answer might be?

Meta-question 6C

Given that you are expected to justify your answer fully, what expectations are implicit in Question 6, i.e., what do you have to do to give a satisfactory answer to Question 6?